October 21, 2020 2236.001.001

BARTON & LOGUIDICE, D.P.C.

ADDENDUM NO. 3

TO

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

FOR

LEACHATE STORAGE TANK LINER PROJECT

LYCOMING COUNTY RESOURCE MANAGEMENT SERVICES LYCOMING COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

TO ALL HOLDERS OF CONTRACT DOCUMENTS:

The attention of Proposers is called to the following changes to the Request for Proposals and shall be taken into account in the preparation of proposals.

This Addendum is part of the Contract Documents in accordance with Article 6 and Article 7 of the AGREEMENT.

Proposers must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 3 on page 1 of the Proposal Form in accordance with Attachment D, PROPOSAL FORM.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

Description

INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS, 2.17 Submittal of Proposal

DELETE:

2.17.1 Proposals, including all their component parts, shall be submitted no later than the following time, and at the following place: Controller of the County of Lycoming, at Suite 204, Executive Plaza, 330 Pine Street, Williamsport, PA 17701, until **5:00 PM local time on the 30th of October 2020**. The Proposals received will be publicly opened and read at the following regular meeting of the Lycoming County Commissioners at 10:00 AM local time.

SUBSTITUTE THEREFOR:

2.17.1 Proposals, including all their component parts, shall be submitted no later than the following time, and at the following place: Controller of the County of Lycoming, at Suite 204, Executive Plaza, 330 Pine Street, Williamsport, PA

17701, until **5:00 PM local time on the 13th of November 2020**. The Proposals received will be publicly opened and read at the following regular meeting of the Lycoming County Commissioners at 10:00 AM local time.

QUESTIONS RECEIVED FROM POTENTIAL PROPOSERS

Question		Response
12	Due to the technical complexity of the project, can the submission date be extended to November 13, 2020 (2 weeks) for additional time needed to prepare our proposal.	Yes, the proposal date will be revised.
13	Can an updated site plan be made available for our use?	A copy of the December 2019 survey is contained as Attachment No. 3-1
14	Contract Times 2.02 and 2.03 – Question was asked at pre-bid meeting regarding contract times and what they will be? Can the contract times be revised and will the liquidated damages as stated remain in the contract?	We want the Proposer to present their schedule, one that they will meet and one that recognizes the critical importance of this work to the Owner and their operations. The INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS, Article 2.11 Contract Times states that the Proposer shall set forth in the Proposal (Attachment B-14 Schedule Statement of proposed Design/Build Milestones) the time by which Proposer shall achieve Substantial Completion. The Proposer should present their estimate of what they propose as a project schedule, based on their approach, including permitting timeframes, progress work review meetings with the Owner, critical work task components, milestones, etc. and forecast completion. The intent would be for the Owner to negotiate a final contract timeframe with the successful proposer and that schedule would have the liquidated damages conditions applied and the project would be managed under that contract schedule. Attachment F, Article 2.02 Contract Times: Days, of the RFP contains an anticipated schedule. This will be revised to reflect the negotiated final contract.
15	Post Construction Warranty 4.14 – If the proposer will not provide the 50	The intent is to have a solid contractual backing by the contractor on the long term performance of this
	years warranty will his bid be rejected as non-compliant? Will the county consider a different term for	leachate tank structure.

	the warranty period; e.g. 10 or 20	The Proposer should propose the warranty structure
	years?	and duration they will be able to provide, if they cannot
		meet the 50-year limit stated in the RFP.
16	Attachment B - 5.0 – Can the	The preliminary response is possibly. The Proposer will
	existing HDPE Studliner walls be	have to provide more details of the complete design to
	used to facilitate leak detection?	allow understanding of the total proposed system
		redesign and function. The existing Studliner-lined walls
		are not "guaranteed" to be leak-proof. Therefore, if
		they are proposed as a component of leak
		detection/collection, this must be considered for the
		system function and required environmental
		containment and protection standards set by PADEP.
17	Attachment B - 24.0 – Will ASTM D	Yes, ASTM 7240 field test protocol for conductive
	7240, electric leak detection for	backed geomembranes will be acceptable.
	conductive backed geomembranes,	
	be acceptable in addition to ASTM D	
	7953?	
<mark>18</mark>	Are alternatives to a "new complete	As stated at the prebid meeting, "nothing is off the
	liner system" acceptable?	table" for consideration except for repair of the current
		liner system.
		However, the Proposer's proposed approach must
		describe how the proposed approach addresses the
		Performance Standards outlined in Attachment B of the
		RFP. The technical proposal must include details to
		support all aspects and components of the system and
		clearly describe the function of all components.
19	Who will apply for a prevailing wage	A copy of the prevailing wage determination is
	determination on this project?	contained as Attachment No. 3-2
20	How will the proposals he	INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS Article 2.32 Evaluation
20	How will the proposals be evaluated?	INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS, Article 2.32 Evaluation of Proposals describes the evaluation process.

BARTON & LOGUIDICE, D.P.C.

John J. Wood, P.E.

Senior Managing Engineer

ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE BY RETURN EMAIL TO:

(sthompson@bartonandloguidice.com)

Signature:	Date:
Title:	
Company:	