





DRAFT



Central Susquehanna Valley Transportation Project SPECIAL IMPACT STUDY



NOVEMBER 2021

The preparation of this report was financed in part through the United States Department of Transportation's Federal Highway Administration, the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, and Lycoming County. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the funding agencies. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

Central Susquehanna Valley Transportation Project

Special Impact Study

Prepared for:

Lycoming County Planning and Community Development

330 Pine Street

Williamsport, PA 17701

570-320-2130

lyco.org

By:

Michael Baker International

4431 North Front Street Harrisburg, PA 17110 717-221-2000





Acknowledgements

Lycoming County and SEDA-COG would like to acknowledge and thank the various members of the study steering committee for their active review of draft meeting materials and participation throughout the study process. The following individuals provided the voice of the region in the development of this study report.

STEERING COMMITTEE

Teajay Aikey *Central PA Chamber*

Robert Brown Montoursville Borough

Steve Culver Muncy Creek Township

Ed Feigles *Muncy Borough*

Jason Fink Williamsport/Lycoming Chamber of Commerce

Bob Garrett Greater Susquehanna Valley Chamber

Michael Goetz Brady Township Planning Commission

Jay Jarrett Watsontown Borough

Chris Logue Williamsport Municipal Airport Authority

Commissioner Scott Metzger Lycoming County

Commissioner Rick Mirabito Lycoming County Kristen Moyer Delaware Township Planning Commission

Jess Novinger *Milton Borough*

Jerry Otterbein Warrior Run Community Corporation

Al Reeves Warrior Run Community Corporation

Joseph Reighard Lycoming County Planning Commission

Tom Schaech Muncy Township

Justin Skavery Northumberland County

Marc Sortman Loyalsock Township

Art Thomas Meck-Tech, Inc.

Kim Wheeler SEDA-COG

Todd Wright *River Valley Transit*





MANAGEMENT TEAM

Lycoming County

Austin Daily Mark Haas Tiffany Krajewski John Lavelle Mark Murawski Scott Williams Salvatore Vitko

SEDA-Council of Governments (SEDA-COG)

James Saylor Steve Herman

PennDOT Engineering District 3-0

Chris King Jonathan Ranck

PennDOT Central Office Program Center

Carey Mullins

Consultant Team – Michael Baker International

Casey Bottiger Brian Funkhouser, AICP – Project Manager Morgan Ruziecki Dan Szekeres Todd Trautz, PE, PTOE Tracey Vernon, AICP, PP – Vernon Land Use, LLC



Central Susquehanna Valley Transportation (CSVT) Project Timeline

- 1970s Original Shamokin Dam bypass studies performed
- 1994 CSVT project studies re-initiated
- 2003 Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) approved
- 2006 Northern Section final design initiated
- 2008 Project placed on hold (due to lack of funding)
- 2013 Act 89 passed (funding identified) and project reactivated
- 2015 Southern Section final design initiated
- 2016 Start of construction for the Northern Section
- 2021 CSVT Special Impact Study completed
- 2022 Anticipated start of construction for the Southern Section
- 2022 Anticipated opening of Northern Section
- 2027 Anticipated opening of Southern Section





Acknowledgements	i
Steering Committee	i
Management Team	ii
Executive Summary	1
Study Purpose and Methodology	2
What is the CSVT?	2
Project Overview	2
Study Process And Tasks	3
Study Schedule	4
The Need for CSVT	4
The Need For Planning	5
Understanding Existing Conditions	5
Traffic Demand	5
Traffic Congestion	7
Highway Safety	9
Planning for the Future	11
Land Use Forecast and Growth Allocation	11
Regional "Build-Out" Scenario	
Population and Employment VIsion Maps	13
Traffic Modeling: Volumes and levels of Diversions	16
Highway Safety Opportunities	17
Implementation Strategies & Next Steps	
Study Recommendations	
Annondiy A Anticipated Study Area Land Developments	52





Executive Summary [PLACEHOLDER]

Executive summary will be completed upon finalization of the report and content will include:

- Summary table/graphic of project descriptions and conclusions
- Project Study Area
- Project Goals
- Key Tasks
- Land Use Forecasts
- Summary of Impacts of CSVT
- Recommendations
- Next Steps





Study Purpose and Methodology

WHAT IS THE CSVT?

The Central Susquehanna Valley Transportation (CSVT) Project involves the phased construction of approximately 12.4 miles of new four-lane, limited access highway from the northern terminus of the Selinsgrove Bypass (US 11/15) in Monroe Township, Snyder County to PA 147 just south of the PA 45 interchange near Montandon, Northumberland County. This major new highway project includes a connector to PA 61 in the greater Shamokin Dam area and a new



bridge crossing over the West Branch Susquehanna River extending from Union Township, Union County to Point Township, Northumberland County. It is one of the largest highway construction projects currently underway anywhere in Pennsylvania. Once fully constructed, the CSVT Project is anticipated to impact traffic patterns that may have significant impacts on communities throughout the study area, especially along the I-180 and US 15 corridors. (More information about the CSVT Project, including plans and status is accessible at <u>www.csvt.com</u>.)

As of Summer 2021, construction on the CSVT's Northern Section is approximately 85 percent completed and is anticipated to open to traffic in 2022. The southern section, which includes the new PA 61 Connector in Shamokin Dam, is expected to start construction in 2022 and is anticipated to be open to traffic in 2027. The new limited access facility is predicted to impact traffic in communities to the north, which garnered interest in completing a study to understand these impacts and identify strategies to proactively monitor and

these impacts and identify strategies to proactively monitor and address them.

PROJECT OVERVIEW

In summer 2019, the Williamsport Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) collaborated with the Susquehanna Economic Development Area Council of Government (SEDA-COG MPO) and the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT) in initiating a study of the anticipated impacts of the forthcoming Central Susquehanna Valley Transportation Project, or CSVT.

The CSVT is a major public works project valued at over \$800 million that will fundamentally change the nature of trip distributions and traffic patterns through the Central Susquehanna Valley. The study agencies have a shared concern regarding how the new roadway will affect not only traffic and transportation, but also future land use and development. With the Northern Section of the CSVT Project slated to open in 2022, time was of the essence in performing an examination of anticipated impacts, and how the region could plan collectively to mitigate those impacts.

The study's geographic area of concern was centered on the corridors of Interstate 180 (shown in blue) and US 15 (shown in







yellow), from their interchange in Williamsport, to a point in Monroe Township, Snyder County, where the new CSVT alignment will join existing US 11/15 (**Figure 1**). Other surrounding roadways were also of interest during the study process, including US 220, US 522, and PA 45 through Lewisburg Borough. The desired result of the study was to create an action plan that will ensure orderly land development patterns, smart growth, and a safe, efficient multi-modal transportation system which is responsive to increased and redistributed traffic demand resulting from the project's completion. In pursuing a more detailed examination and analysis of anticipated CSVT impacts, the MPOs and PennDOT have exceeded the scope of the required <u>Final Environmental Impact Statement</u> and its subsequent reevaluations.

STUDY PROCESS AND TASKS

The CSVT Project Special Impact Study was led by a Management Team composed of representatives from the MPOs and PennDOT (Engineering District 3-0 and Central Office). A consultant was hired by the MPOs to assist in data collection, analysis, and report development. The Management Team met on a bi-weekly basis throughout the project's duration to review draft content and provide overall direction. The study also was supported by a 22-member Steering Committee that met four times over the course of the project to guide the study process and represent local perspectives. A listing of all stakeholder members and organizations represented is provided in the Acknowledgements.





A summary of the key study tasks is shown in **Figure 2**. An assessment of existing conditions within the study area included an evaluation of travel demand, traffic congestion and highway safety along the US 15 and PA 147 / I-180 corridors.

Land use was identified as an important focus area. As a result, this study included extensive outreach to local communities and planning partners to develop a forecast land use vision of population and employment growth in the study area. The land use vision helped to support the evaluation of transportation and land use strategies.

To translate the land use vision and CSVT construction into traffic projections, the PennDOT statewide travel demand model was used to project traffic volume growth along key corridors in the study area. The modeling provided insights on potential impacts of regional traffic diversions caused by the fully built CSVT Project, rather than the opening of the individual northern and southern sections. In addition, a more detailed traffic safety assessment was conducted along the PA 147 and I-180 corridor examining existing and future safety concerns at key interchanges.

Using the above information and additional outreach to local communities and stakeholders, a comprehensive list of transportation and land use strategies were assembled to address CSVT Project impacts and opportunities. Strategies were defined through project descriptions, potential implementation partners and prioritized at a planning level. Each of the study recommendations were vetted with implementing agencies.

A public officials briefing and public open house were conducted to receive comments on the draft study recommendations. The final report was presented to the Williamsport MPO as the contracting agency during its November 2021 meeting. The study process resulted in an action plan that will guide municipal officials in orderly land development patterns, smart growth, and a safe,



efficient multimodal transportation system which is responsive to any changes in traffic patterns resulting from completion of the CSVT project.

STUDY SCHEDULE

The study process followed a 12-month schedule, with major milestones as shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Study Schedule



The Need for CSVT

North-south mobility through Pennsylvania's Central Susquehanna Valley is primarily provided by a number of arterials and Interstates, including US 15, PA 147, and Interstate 180. Interstate 180 between Williamsport and Interstate 80 was constructed in a phased approach from 1969 through 1981, with the fully-constructed highway opening to traffic in 1982. The highway did not receive Interstate designation until 1983. US 15 through the study area runs parallel to the western side of the West Branch Susquehanna River and is included on the Federally-designated Strategic Highway Network (STRAHNET). This network includes long-distance highways important to the United States' strategic defense and provides access, continuity, and emergency capabilities for defense purposes.

Plans to complete a limited access corridor from Interstate 180's junction with Interstate 80 south to Shamokin Dam in Snyder County have been in planning stages for many years. At the turn of the century, the project was cut from the state's program as it was being right-sized. As time has gone by, the corridor has continued to develop, and in Pennsylvania's most recent long-range transportation plan, areas surrounding Northumberland Borough were identified as one of the top truck bottlenecks in the state. As construction on the CSVT Project is now underway, the need for a planning study regarding CSVT's aftermath has been well documented, as the need has been well recognized and supported in several planning processes:

- Muncy Area Corridor Access Management Plan (2015)
- Muncy-Montoursville Multi-Municipal Comprehensive Plan (2017);
- Muncy Creek Multi-Municipal Comprehensive Plan (2017);
- US 15 South Multi-Municipal Comprehensive Plan (2017);
- Lycoming County Comprehensive Plan Update (2018); and,
- Williamsport Area Transportation Study (WATS) Long Range Transportation Plan Update (2018).

The timing of the CSVT Project Special Impact Study and its outcomes is optimal in directing future growth and addressing potential impacts to the region's transportation system.





THE NEED FOR PLANNING

Since the regional traffic pattern shifts resulting from the CSVT Project are not expected to start occurring until 2022 when the northern section of the project is completed, timing of this study and its outcomes is optimal in directing future growth and addressing potential impacts on the region's transportation system. In addition to improving traffic safety, the CSVT project is expected to separate truck traffic and through traffic from local traffic, as well as reduce congestion and accommodate growth. Given the magnitude of the CSVT Project and its anticipated impacts on trip distribution, highway safety, and land use, the MPOs within the study area administered this study to proactively prepare for the future.

Understanding Existing Conditions

Table 1: 2020 Daily Traffic Volumes on US 15 and PA 147 / I-180 Corridor

TRAFFIC DEMAND

The US 15 and PA 147/I-180 corridors carry the highest north-south traffic volumes in the study area. **Table 1** provides an overview how Annual Average Daily Traffic Volume (AADT) varies within each corridor. The data illustrates that traffic volumes are consistent between the two corridors with higher volumes near town and urban centers. In Shamokin Dam, US 15 carries over 40,000 AADT, the section of highest traffic demand in the study area as it provides access to US 11, PA 61, and shopping and service destinations.

US-15				
Section	AADT	Truck %		
South of Selinsgrove	15,201	21%		
Shamokin Dam (before 61)	40,543	14%		
Shamokin Dam (north of 11)	19,642	17%		
In Lewisburg	29,861	9%		
South of I-80	19,222	14%		
North of I-80	16,617	13%		
North of PA 54	16,510	11%		
South Williamsport	22,397	7%		
Market Street Bridge	24,790	6%		

* Source: PennDOT (https://gis.penndot.gov/tire)

PA 147 / I-180 Section AADT Truck % Northumberland 16,349 16% North of Northumberland 11,313 12% North of PA 45 12,138 21% North of PA 642 15,154 22% South of I-80 9,723 27% North of I-80 17,435 21% North of PA 54 17,804 22% North of 405 in Muncy 19,064 18% Williamsport - Montoursville 10% 33,391

Several PennDOT continuous traffic count stations are located along US 15 and I-180 as illustrated in **Figure 4**. These traffic counters provide insights into recent traffic volume trends and will be an important data source to monitor the future impacts of regional land use growth and the anticipated impacts of the CSVT Project. Although overall traffic volumes have been relatively stable since 2015, the US 15 corridor has seen nearly a 30 percent growth in truck volume from 2015-2019. In comparison, Pennsylvania's statewide truck vehicle miles of travel (VMT) has grown by just over 5 percent over the same time period according to PennDOT's Highway Statistics. The traffic volumes in 2020 and 2021 should be assessed carefully as they have been impacted by the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic.





Figure 4: Summary of PennDOT Continuous Count Station Data in Project Study Area

* Traffic volume years may have been influenced by COVID

The completion of the CSVT Project is expected to have some impact on traffic demand on each of these corridors. These impacts are assessed in other sections of this report using available travel modeling tools. To supplement those tools and to provide better insights into understanding the origins and destinations of travelers on US 15, cellular and GPS data from StreetLight, Inc. was purchased and reviewed for this study.

An assessment of trip ends for travelers using US 15 in Shamokin Dam was conducted and is summarized in **Figure 5**. This location was chosen for the analysis as it will be near the new CSVT interchange with US 15. The data shows that:

- 48 percent of the traffic on US 15 in Shamokin Dam has a local (to Shamokin Dam) origin or destination. Those
 travelers are expected to continue using US 15 rather than the CSVT Project once completed. For trucks, this
 percentage is much lower (e.g., nearly 12%).
- 27 percent of the passenger cars and 69 percent of the trucks on US 15 in Shamokin Dam have an
 origin/destination outside the study area. As expected, there is a higher percentage of longer distance travel for
 trucks.





Figure 5: US 15 Origins and Destinations Assessment

	Trip Origins or Destinations for Travelers on US 15 in Shamokin Dam		
Vehicle Type	Local to Shamokin Dam	Other Areas Along US 15 / I-180 Corridor	Outside Study Area
Passenger Cars	48%	25%	27%
Trucks	12%	19%	69%

Percentage of Trip Ends - Passenger Cars



Percentage of Trip Ends - Commercial Trucks



TRAFFIC CONGESTION

Traffic congestion is an important aspect to consider in assessing the impacts of the CSVT Project. Existing traffic congestion has been assessed in the study area using available INRIX 2020 GPS travel time data acquired through PennDOT. This data represents actual travel times collected from vehicles traveling within the region. Roadway segment traffic congestion measures were calculated by comparing average AM and PM peak period travel times to offpeak times (e.g., nighttime). The greater difference between those times, the higher the congestion level. The data was used to identify key congestion hotspots as shown in **Figure 6**. Key congestion hotspots along the US-15 and PA 147 / I-180 corridors include:

- US 15 in Shamokin Dam
- US 15 in Lewisburg
- US 15 in Allenwood (PA 44 Intersection)
- PA 147 in Sunbury and Northumberland

Overall, traffic congestion on US 15 was experienced on both weekdays and weekends. Friday typically experiences the most traffic delay, most likely influenced by retail shopping and restaurants in Shamokin Dam and Lewisburg.













HIGHWAY SAFETY

Another important aspect to consider when assessing probable impacts of the CSVT Project are its potential impacts to highway safety, which is one of the primary purposes of this study. By removing volume and separating through traffic from local trips, PennDOT is correcting some of these issues. A combination of safety evaluations were conducted, one to baseline existing locations with safety concerns and a second to identify locations that are most prone to safety impacts with the opening of the CSVT Project. Existing locations of safety concern were identified through the review of available, reportable crash data over a 3-year period (2018-2020). The data was used to identify high crash segments along and nearby the study area corridors, as shown in **Figure 7**. These high crash segments include:

- US 15 in Shamokin Dam, Lewisburg, and East Lewisburg
- US 15 in Allenwood (PA 44 Intersection)
- US 15 in South Williamsport
- US 15 near PA 54 Intersection
- PA 147 in Northumberland
- PA 54 near Turbotville

Additionally, **Figure 7** depicts the locations of both fatal and serious injury crashes. Fatalities have occurred at many of the safety hotspot locations identified above on US 15 and on I-180 near Muncy and Montoursville.



Figure 7: High Crash Segments and Fatalities



The study process examined interchanges along the corridors to ensure that volume changes and future growth do not create new issues. In order to identify locations that are most prone to safety impacts and also have the greatest opportunity to impart change as a result of the CSVT Project, the number of crashes occurring within the unique roadway features of each interchange along the PA 147 and I-180 corridors was used as a screening tool for further safety evaluation. The number of reportable crashes occurring between 2015 and 2019 was aggregated for each interchange terminal, ramp, and acceleration/deceleration lane (i.e. speed change lane). **Figure 8** provides a visual definition of these terms. The mainlines of PA 147 and I-180 were excluded from this additional safety evaluation so that the outcomes represent opportunities for improvement as lands adjacent to these corridors are developed.

Using a crash threshold of five crashes as a screen to isolate interchange features that have substantive crash history, **Table 2** ranks the terminals, ramps, and speed change lanes from the greatest number of crashes to the least number of crashes, respectively.





Terminals = • Ramps = • Speed Change Lanes = •

	Terminals with Total 5-Year Crashes ≥ 5				
Rank	Interchange	Intersecting Road	Terminal	# of Crashes	
1	US 15/Market Street/Lewisburg	Market Street	I-180 EB & WB Ramps	24	
2	Faxon	Northway Road	I-180 WB Off-Ramp	14	
T-3	Warrensville Road/Third Street	Third Street	I-180 EB Ramps	11	
T-3	Warrensville Road/Third Street	Third Street	I-180 WB Ramps (Third St)	11	
5	PA 87 N/Loyalsock Avenue	Loyalsock Avenue	I-180 EB Ramps	8	
T-6	Watsontown/McEwensville	Susquehanna Trail	I-180 EB Ramps	5	
T-6	Lycoming Mall Road	Lycoming Mall Road	I-180 EB Ramps	5	
T-6	Lycoming Mall Road	Lycoming Mall Road	I-180 WB Ramps	5	
T-6	Faxon	Northway Road	I-180 EB Ramps	5	
	Ramps	with Total 5-Year Crasl	hes ≥ 3		
Rank	Interchange		Ramp	#of Crashes	
1	Faxon	I-180 WB On-Ramp fro	om Northway Road	6	
T-2	I-180/Bellefonte/Bloomsburg	I-80 EB CD-1		3	
T-2	I-180/Bellefonte/Bloomsburg	I-80 WB CD-5		3	
	Speed Change La	nes (SCL) with Total 5-	Year Crashes ≥ 5		
Rank	Interchange	Speed	l Change Lane	# of Crashes	
1	Basin Street	I-180 WB Off-Ramp		9	
2	I-80/Bellefonte/Bloomsburg	I-80 EB CD to I-80 EB		8	
3	Faxon	I-180 WB On-Ramp		6	
T-4	I-80/Bellefonte/Bloomsburg	I-80 WB to I-80 WB CD		5	
T-4	Lycoming Mall Road	I-180 EB On-Ramp	I-180 EB On-Ramp		

Table 2: Study Area Crashes: Terminals, Ramps, and Speed Change Lanes, 2015-19





Planning for the Future

To better understand future transportation needs and to assist with strategy identification, the team conducted a multistep process to evaluate a future land use and transportation vision for the corridor. These steps included coordination with state, local and regional planning partners to gain a better understanding of potential growth areas within the corridor and to identify how the CSVT project might impact that growth. Outreach with county planners, municipal staff/officials, and Focus Central PA identified a total of 78 major land developments that are either planned or being contemplated within the study area. A complete listing is provided in Appendix A and **Table 3** shows the percentage of these developments that are located within one mile of the study area's major corridors, the CSVT project, and both new and existing interchanges. Just over 37 percent of the identified developments fall within one mile of a new or existing interchange within the study area. Approximately 28 percent of these developments are located within one mile of US 15. Other corridors, including the CSVT, have less than a 20 percent share of all proposed development within one mile of their limits.

	#	% Share of Total Proposed Developments
Within 1 Mile of Interchange (Both Existing and New)	29	37.2%
Within 1 Mile of US 15	22	28.2%
Within 1 Mile of I-180	14	17.9%
Within 1 Mile of PA 147	15	19.2%
Within 1 mile of CSVT Project	10	12.8%

Table 3: Percentage Development Share within 1 Mile of Study Area Corridors and Interchanges

Based on the land use vision developed, technical tool sets were applied to better understand the impacts that land use has in combination with the completion of the CSVT project on regional traffic volumes. These traffic volume projections provided important insights on areas for future monitoring and possible transportation strategies.

LAND USE FORECAST AND GROWTH ALLOCATION

The CSVT Special Impact Study included the development of a regional land use vision. The land use vision includes forecast population and employment growth for the study area. These forecasts were based on identified developments, information in county comprehensive plans, historic trends, and other collected GIS information including vacant parcel data. The anticipated completion of the CSVT project influenced land use projections near the interchanges along I-180.

The regional land use vision was developed to inform the identification of potential future traffic and safety impacts within the study area. The assessments were conducted at a planning level with the intent to drive future discussion on transportation needs and to identify ways to better monitor conditions in relation to ongoing and future land use development. The land use vision was developed using the factors and information provided in **Figure 9**.





Figure 9: Data Used to Identify Potential Areas of Development

		Criteria/Scoring	Description
	A Census Block Area	Vacant	Vacant parcels provided by county
	is given a score of	Appropriate zoning	Industrial, Agricultural, Commercial
Developability	zero if it does not	Slope <25%	-
	meet any of the following criteria.	Not Located in a Flood Zone	-
	Area (sum of vacant parcels)	4: > 180 acres 3: 50 - 180 2: 10 - 50 1: <10	Sum of vacant parcels within each Census Block
	Water and Sewer Infrastructure	4: Sewer/Water Infrastructure present	Sewer and water infrastructure information was provided in each of the parcel layers
	Growth Boundaries	4: Growth boundary present	Growth boundaries were provided for each of the counties. Union County's consisted of a Primary and Secondary growth boundary
Weighting Variables	Known Developments	Census Block scores with known developments were manually adjusted to ensure the final weighting score was in the "High Growth Potential" category	Known developments consist of residential and employment development layers
	Historic Growth	4: >100% 3: 0% - 100% 2: -50% - 0% 1: <-50%	Changes in Employment Growth 2002- 2017, Housing Unit Change 2012-2020, and Population Change 2012-2020
	<i>Distance to State Roads and Interstates</i>	3: Within 3 miles of an NHSroute3: Within 0.5 miles of a Collectorroute	A buffer was placed around NHS routes and Collector routes
	Distance to Interchange	4: Within 1 mile of an Interchange	A buffer was placed around interchange locations

Land development within the study area will occur where zoning and utilities will support it. As such, land use, development, and infrastructure data used to build the regional vision for the study area was gathered through outreach with county planners, officials from all 30 study area municipalities, and economic development agencies. Information on public sewer and water infrastructure and projects was also collected through discussions with local authorities. Using the criteria described in **Figure 9** above, growth was allocated to specific areas within each county. Areas were deemed 'developable' if the parcels met the criteria of vacancy, designated zoning, slope, and position outside a floodplain. The developable properties were provided scores based upon each of the Weighting Variables above. These scores, or growth allocations, resulted in the regional vision.

All stakeholders were provided with a study overview and draft growth allocations. Upcoming infrastructure projects, proposed developments, and community development efforts within their respective jurisdictions were discussed.



Feedback was incorporated into revised growth allocations and the regional "build-out" scenario. These local level insights also contributed to shaping the study recommendations. The MPOs provided all narratives and recommendations resulting from the outreach to the county planners and municipal officials for their review, comment, and confirmation prior to being incorporated into the final report.

REGIONAL "BUILD-OUT" SCENARIO

The regional "build-out" scenario represents a mid- to long-term vision (e.g., 10-25 years) for the study area. It was developed using a top-down approach based on "reasonable" county growth rates.

The regional growth rates assumed for this effort were developed based on recent forecasts prepared by Woods & Poole Economics. The information is based on a national life cycle and economic approach. Both Lycoming and Northumberland County were projected to have population decreases. For the scenario application, this study assumes some small growth in these counties. The projected population and employment changes were factored into the scores of the growth allocations discussed above, providing the final regional "build-out" scenario. The change in population and employment will also be used to assess future vehicle trip growth. The impacts of CSVT are hard to predict. Current assumptions have identified areas around key interchanges that may be expected to grow due to increased traffic volumes and access.

Figure 10: Study Area Growth Rate Assumptions

WOODS & POOLE E C O N O M I C S WASHINGTON D C Profile			
County	Population (2020-2040)	Employment (2020-2040)	
Lycoming	-1.8%	15.1%	
Northumberland	-2.3%	9.3%	
Union	7.0%	22.8%	
Snyder	5.3%	14.9%	

Study Build-Out Scenario County Growth Assumptions

County	Population	Employment
Lycoming	1.0%	15.1%
Northumberland	1.0%	9.3%
Union	7.0%	22.8%
Snyder	5.3%	14.9%

POPULATION AND EMPLOYMENT VISION MAPS

The following screen shot of the project WebMap (**Figure 11**) provides information on all of the variables used in calculating growth throughout the study area.

The WebMap can be reviewed at the following link: Williamsport Area Transportation Study (WATS) (arcgis.com)

- Reference layers such as County Boundaries, highway routes, and interchanges come on by default when the map loads.
- The map also incudes Zoom in/out buttons as well as a search bar that can be used for an address.
- Users wishing to export the map for printing may do so as opposed to a screengrab.
- Users may also select among a variety of options for base maps.
- The WebMap also includes selections of data layers that can be toggled on and off. Each category is labeled as such:



- VP Vacant Parcels
- o GA Growth Areas / Estimated Potential Growth by Interchange
- o JG Job Growth
- PG Population Growth, Residential Growth by Census Block
- PD Proposed Developments
- o Z Zoning
- REF Reference layers
- ALL All layers, as some users might prefer to have all of the layers accessible in one list to toggle on/off.
- When navigating in the WebMap sidebar, users will need to select the "Other Panels" button to view PD, Z, REF, and ALL.



Figure 11: Screen Shot of Project WebMap







TRAFFIC MODELING: VOLUMES AND LEVELS OF DIVERSIONS

Through a series of statewide travel demand model runs, an assessment was made to determine how traffic volume could change with the forecast land use vision and a completed CSVT project. **Figure 13** highlights the key traffic volume changes projected in the region. These include:

- US 15 traffic volumes are expected to decrease significantly, especially south of Lewisburg. In Shamokin Dam, modeling projects nearly a 45 percent reduction in traffic volume. North of I-80, US 15 traffic is projected to decrease by about 15 percent.
- The completion of the CSVT project may increase US 15 traffic south of Selinsgrove by 10-15 percent.
- Several east-west road connections from CSVT and I-180 are expected to increase in traffic volume. This
 includes PA 45 into Lewisburg, where a 20 percent increase in traffic resulted in the modeling. In Milton,
 nearly a 50 percent increase in volume was projected on PA 642. In Watsontown, PA 44 was projected to have
 20 percent increase in traffic volume. Each of these increases would have significant impacts on local traffic
 congestion and safety in those areas.¹
- US 220 toward Hughesville was projected to have traffic increases of 25 percent. The completion of the CSVT project drew more traffic to the I-180 and US 220 corridor to areas north and east of the study area.
- Significant development near Muncy and the Lycoming Mall will increase traffic volumes at those interchanges and also significantly increase traffic volume along Lycoming Mall Drive and North Main Street.

Figure 12: Forecast Traffic Volume Changes Due to Projected Developments and CSVT Completion



¹ Note: Travel demand modeling is too coarse to separate passenger cars and commercial trucks for the purposes of forecasting growth by vehicle classification.





HIGHWAY SAFETY OPPORTUNITIES

Using 2015-2019 reportable crash data previously summarized in the Understanding Existing Conditions section of the study, the methodologies outlined in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO) Highway Safety Manual (HSM), First Edition, and PennDOT's Publication 638A, Pennsylvania Safety Predictive Analysis Methods Manual were applied to each of the locations noted in **Table 2** to understand what specific interchange features are experiencing more crashes than they should be 'today' and are thus locations that should be paid attention to as CSVT Project land use and traffic redistribution impacts occur.

Table 4 lists the interchange features that are experiencing excess crash costs. These finding are ranked in order from greatest excess cost to least excess cost. Excess cost is the monetization, based on the cost to society of different crash types and injury severities, of the delta in crashes between observed (existing) crash history and the predicted number of crashes. In lay terms, **Table 4** shows what interchange features have the greatest opportunity for a return on infrastructure investment.

Feature Type	Interchange	Feature Description
Terminal	US 15/Market Street/Lewisburg	I-180 EB & WB Ramps
Speed Change Lane	Basin Street	I-180 WB Off-Ramp
Terminal	Warrensville Road/Third Street	I-180 WB Ramps (Third St)
Ramp	Faxon	I-180 WB On-Ramp from Northway Road
Speed Change Lane	I-80/Bellefonte/Bloomsburg	I-80 WB to I-80 WB CD
Speed Change Lane	Lycoming Mall Road	I-180 EB On-Ramp
Speed Change Lane	I-80/Bellefonte/Bloomsburg	I-80 EB CD to I-80 EB
Speed Change Lane	Faxon	I-180 WB On-Ramp

Table 4: PA 147 and I-180 Interchange Features in Excess Cost Order





Implementation Strategies & Next Steps

STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS

The team organized the study recommendations into several categories, including land use; economic development; traffic operations; safety; multimodal transportation; and planning and administration. The implementation plan includes supporting information, including implementing agency lead, planning-level cost estimates, and recommended timing. Other action steps were also provided to assist in making the transition from planning to implementation.

The reader should note that PennDOT consistently works with municipalities throughout the District to identify projects to improve traffic flow. Several of the study recommendations include monitoring interchange off-ramps or signal re-timings based on potential traffic projections. The reader should also note that any future developments within the study area will be subjected to the HOP process and signalizing interchanges or re-timings will be based on the results of a TIS. Any signing or roadway geometry upgrades suggested within this report should ideally be addressed through future roadway reconstruction projects or related upgrades and not as stand-alone projects in order to maximize the use of available dollars unless there is an immediate severe crash pattern that needs addressed. The **Implementation Plan** is a menu of offerings for the Study Implementation Task Force to consider as it administers and monitors the execution of needed action items.

While it is unlikely that every recommendation will be implemented or acted upon, they were designed to encourage improvement in the conduct of government programs and operations and are addressed to parties with the authority to act. The Implementation Task Force will have a wide-ranging menu of options to consider as it monitors and measures progress.

The study recommendations offer a framework for the MPOs, PennDOT, and their stakeholders in what needs to be accomplished to prepare for CSVT's impacts. A critical component of the study's implementation strategy includes monitoring and addressing the impacts of the CSVT Project as it opens to traffic. Local government stakeholders and the public are encouraged to assist in this essential implementation step to fulfill the vision and needs identified in this study. The Williamsport and SEDA-COG MPOs hold regular meetings that are open to the public and provide an opportunity to voice transportation concerns. The MPOs' 3C planning process (continuous, cooperative, and comprehensive) provides the essential framework in which implementation of this study's recommendations can be successful.

Successful mitigation of CSVT's impacts will rely heavily on regular and routine stakeholder participation and involvement. The creation of a CSVT Study Implementation Task Force will serve as the primary body responsible for administering the study's implementation plan under the aegis of the MPOs and PennDOT. The Task Force should meet on a quarterly basis. Meeting frequency over the long-term can be reevaluated and adjusted as new CSVT traffic patterns reach equilibrium and as local land use management practices improve.

Study recommendations are organized by the following categories:²

\$: between \$250K and \$500K
\$\$\$: between \$500K and \$1M
\$\$\$\$: between \$1M and \$5M
\$\$\$\$\$: > \$5M

² Legend for estimated costs:

^{\$: &}lt; \$250K

^{\$\$\$\$\$: &}gt; \$5M



- Traffic Safety (TS) to address hotspots with excess crashes based on Highway Safety Manual (HSM) analyses.
- Traffic Operations (TO) including vehicle navigation aids, signing, signal timings, etc.
- Transportation Enhancements (TE) including multimodal improvements, traffic calming, Complete Streets, etc.
- Land Use (LU) to address updates to existing and creation of new county and local plans, policies, and ordinances.
- Economic Development (ED) including projects to improve infrastructure to facilitate job growth and community development.
- Planning and Administration (PA) to continue coordinating planning efforts across the CSVT study area.





Traffic Safety (TS)

Recom	mendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe
TS-1	Pavement Markings –	Update pavement markings at exit and entrance ramps and gores to meet current	PennDOT	\$	2 years
	Corridor	Perindo Fand wordd Standards. Add painted chevrons in selected gore areas.			
• lss	ues/Concerns: Excess	crashes per HSM analyses have been identified at a number of entrance and exit ramps	and along speed chan	ge lanes in the existi	ng condition:
spe	ecifically, at Interchanges	26, 17 and at the I-80 Interchange. Additional traffic on this corridor and motorists unfamil	liar with the area as a r	esult of the CSVT pr	oject will benefit
fro	m positive guidance provi	ded by updated pavement markings at all interchanges.			
• Re	commendation Details:	Increase width of solid white edge and lane lines at gores of entrance and exit ramps to 8	" in keeping with Penn	DOT standards depi	cted in PennDOT
Pu	olication 111.Similarly, up	igrade all auxiliary lane pavement markings along merge lanes and along auxiliary lanes to pave experienced evenes crashes (26, 17, and 190 interchange) to most MUTCD required	o meet Pub. TTT detail	S (8" SKIPS). Add of f	evise gore
en	rourage motorists to align	with and stay in acceleration lanes prior to merging			
le lm	plementation:	i with and stay in deceleration lanes pror to merging.			
	 Considerations: L 	Ipgrades to interchanges that have experienced excess crashes should occur in the near	term. Updating of entir	e corridor should occ	cur concurrent
	with or prior to the	mplementation of the CSVT project.	1 3		
	 Support Partners: 	PennDOT			
_	 Potential Funding 	Sources: Maintenance budget, concurrent with annual (bi-annual?) striping maintenance	e or concurrent with res	surfacing projects.	
• Pe	nnDOT Notes:			<i>c</i>	
	 Existing gores appendix 	ear to be 8" lines as per Pub TTT. Gore areas could benefit from adding 'Chevron' small part in the neutral gere	aint pavement marking	configuration. Howe	ever, a review of
		ints indicate that some of the wider paint lines and painted chevrons in the neutral gore at we crash report details at the ramp locations and speed change lanes to identify causation	factors and unless the	ere is a prominent cr	ash nattern at a
	particular location	markings will be reviewed for upgrades during future resurfacing and reconstruction projection	cts and the cost funder	d by those projects	If a prominent
	crash pattern, addr	essable by pavement markings is found, the department will update pavement markings v	vith the annual Freewa	y Line Paint Contrac	t, department
	forces, or other me	ans.		5	
TS-2	Signing at I-80/	Reevaluate advance guide sign and lane designation configuration to provide updated	PennDOT	\$\$\$\$???
	I-180/PA 147	advance guide signing for Freeway-to-Freeway Interchange (Per MUTCD figure 2E-			
	Interundinge 34)				
• ISS An	• Issues/Concerns. Excess clashes per inside analyses have been identified along a number of the conector of				
CS	VT project should be exp	ected to involve a redistribution of traffic and concurrent changes in wayfinding and destin	ation signing, creating	a concurrent need to	o address quide
sig	n changes at this intercha	ange. Completion of CSVT may also result in a desire to update/revise the guide signing a	t the I-80/US 15 interc	hange to the west of	this interchange
to	evise the destinations/wa	ayfinding.			-





Recommendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe
 Recommendation Details overhead (OH) guide sign s Freeway to Freeway Interc PennDOT Notes: Modifica sign. Moving sign structure cost absorbed by the project 	: Reevaluate the advance and interchange guide signs and lane designation configuration structures and add additional OH/cantilever structures to provide updated advance guide si hanges (MUTCD figure 2E-34). tion of existing signing for the I-80 WB Off-ramp to I-180 WB would likely be valuable – adc s does not appear to be necessary. Sign structures are typically evaluated for upgrades an ct.	at this freeway to freev gning that follows the l ling advisory speed to d replacement with lar	vay interchange. Pot MUTCD recommend sign and having 'Exi ger reconstruction pr	entially relocate ations for t Only' below the rojects and the
 Implementation: Considerations: will very likely exh Support Partners Potential Funding 	Updating of guide signs for the interchange should occur concurrent with completion of the ibit more freeway to freeway operational attributes, and the guide signing should be upgraces: PennDOT g Sources: to be absorbed as part of any larger reconstruction project	CSVT project, since the ded to match.	ne interchange traffic	flow interactions
TS-3 Susquehanna Trail Interchange (Exit 1/ I-180)	Basic countermeasures for stop-controlled intersection	PennDOT	\$	1 Year
 Issues/Concerns: Excess indicates vehicles running the suggests an increase in train rease in train reases in train reases in train reases in train reases in the sector of these basic court district traffic unit should remetation: Implementation: Considerations: intersection/intercomposition of thime reevaluation of the sector protection in the sector protection is a support partner of the sector protection in the sector protection is a support partner of the sector partner o	as crashes per HSM analyses have been identified at the eastbound I-180 ramp terminal in the stop sign or turning vehicles stopped in traffic were the primary factors. The projected e ffic volume will likely access these ramps in the future, exacerbating any underlying existing : Implement basic safety countermeasures for stop-controlled intersections at the eastbourn thermeasures have been implemented in the recent past and any benefits from this implem view crash details and potentially implement sign upgrades and other counter measures for Additional traffic generated in the future from the projected employment buildout may exace hange. Initial analysis of crash history suggests the addition of left turn lane bays to remove ay be warranted in the future. As adjacent and nearby properties are developed, thus increases potential need. :: PennDOT g Sources: Maintenance Budget (for low-cost sign upgrades/countermeasures)	tersection with Susque mployment buildout in g safety issues. Ind ramp terminals. A re- entation are not yet re r running stop signs cr erbate underlying safet e stopped/turning traffic asing nearby traffic vol	channa Trail. The cra the vicinity of this int eview of Google Map flected in the crash h ashes at this location ty issues at this c from the Susqueha lume, HOP reviews r	sh history erchange s indicates a istory. The ı, if justified. nna Trail through nay include a





Recor	nmendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe
TS-4	Warrensville Rd/ 3 rd St Interchange (Exit 23/I-180)	Revise EB off- ramp terminal configuration and related signal	PennDOT	\$ - \$\$	1 - 3 years
• Is ho di re ao be	 Issues/Concerns: Excess crashes per HSM analyses have been identified at the EB ramp terminal intersection with E 3rd St. The ramp terminal intersection is signalized; however, the off-ramp leg is a right-turn only, is not clearly channelized, and the off-ramp approach is not signalized. The ramp is clearly angled to encourage right turns only and discourage all other movements. Any motorist attempting a left turn or going straight through the intersection is likely deliberately trying to make a left turn despite it being restricted to right turn only. Additional channelization still might not stop this from occurring, and could be an impediment during emergency situations, resurfacing operations, and accommodating large loads. Adding signal timing phases or running additional movements through this signal would likely add to the congestion already experienced on SR 2014 between Montoursville and Loyalsock during peak hours. PennDOT has not received any public complaints regarding the design of this intersection. 				
• R in	 Recommendation Details: Study the intersection and revise the signal and the channelization of the right turn lane /EB off-ramp to provide clear guidance to motorists. The intersection movements should be observed to determine whether there is cross intersection demand and mitigations should be adjusted accordingly. Options could include: Revise geometry to provide more clear channelization of off-ramp right turn. Construct a channeling island for positive guidance. Increase signing from off-ramp reinforcing no left turn or through movements through signalized intersection Double-up (both sides of ramp) and oversize 'All Traffic Must Turn Right" signs. (Note: Installing on the left may likely result in the sign getting knocked off the concrete barrier, unless it's installed prior to the barrier.) Move stop sign to increase conspicutly, and move 'All Traffic Must Turn Right' sign to below the stop sign. 				
C	ther intersection and sign o adding reflecto o adding a near o adding a signa	al improvements to address the excess crash history at this ramp terminal intersection wo prized strips on the backplates of the signal heads; side signal head for Old Montoursville Road; and I ahead sign to Old Montoursville approach.	uld include:		
• 11	 Considerations: S complex solutions/ expected to experi- movement into acc Support Partners Potential Funding 	Some of the lower cost mitigations such as painting in a channelizing island and increased mitigations are being designed/developed. The employment projections indicate that the a ence growth in the future. If this destination draws traffic off I-180 EB, then any redesign c ount. PennDOT Sources: Maintenance Budget, Operations Budget, HSIP Funds, GLG Funds	signing could occur in area across from the of onsiderations should ta	the near term, while f-ramp on Old Monto ake this future trip ge	e more expensive, oursville Road is eneration





Recom	mendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe				
TS-5	WB On-Ramp at	Reconstruct WB on-ramp and merge areas	PennDOT	\$\$\$	3 Years				
	(Exit 25/I-180)								
 Issues/Concerns: Excess crashes per HSM analyses have been identified along the WB on-ramp, the internal merge area within the ramp, and the speed change lane/ merge area with I-180. A number of rear-end crashes cited vehicles approaching stopped traffic, indicating unexpected congestion and potential sight distance issues. This could be an indication of motorists unfamiliar with the area and local traffic patterns utilizing this ramp and/or inconsistent upstream congestion on I-180 (both potentially due to the area being a Little League destination). Mainline I-180 traffic volume growth expected with the completion of the CSVT may exacerbate the merge conflicts and accentuate any underlying safety issues at this ramp. Recommendation Details: Study traffic operation of ramp and upstream traffic flow. Consider reconstruction of portions of ramp and speed change lane to extend/lengthen/ clarify merge areas of both the incoming side ramp and upstream traffic flow. Consider reconstruction of portions of ramp to improve sight distance for accelerating vehicles coming over the rise toward I-180 (a number of crashes cite rear-end crashes of cars coming over the ramp crashing into vehicles stopped in traffic) During the design phase of future reconstruction projects the Department can evaluate crash patterns and assess the need to lengthen ramps make geometry adjustments. Implementation: Considerations: Operations and crash analysis should occur during times of normal traffic and during times of Little League activity. Support Partners: PennDOT Potential Funding Sources: NHPP; STP; APD 									
TS-6	WB Off-Ramp Speed Change Lane(s) at Basin St. Interchange (Exit 26/I-180)	Revise guide signing and clarify pavement markings for Exits 26 and 27A. Consider wayfinding and service signing.	PennDOT	\$ - \$\$\$	1 Year				
 Iss to t of t cor des the Aduance Re exi Exi onl 	 Basin St. Interchange (Exit 26/I-180) Issues/Concerns: Excess crashes per HSM analyses have been identified along the WB off-ramp speed change lane at the Basin St. exit. The speed change lane is adjacent to the exit-only lane for Exit 27A, which is a relatively unusual lane configuration. While it is possible that the advance guide signs for the combined exit numbers (located just east of the pedestrian overpass and at the start of the exit only lane) are confusing to motorists (implying that Basin St and Market St are both US 15 South routes), widespread confusion over the signage has not been observed. Additional and revised guide signing, and standard auxiliary lane pavement markings may help clarify lane assignments and destinations for the potentially high proportion of out-of-town traffic (Little League). Additionally, there is a "Visitor Info" sign attached to the OH sign support at the beginning of the auxiliary and exit lane guide sign location. It is not clear where the "Visitor Info" destination is, and this may also lead to destination/ lane assignment confusion for 'Visitors." Additionally, some of the crash history associated with this speed change lane could be associated with queueing from the Market St. off-ramp/adjacent auxiliary lane. Mainline and Market St. Interchange WB off-ramp traffic volume growth expected with the completion of the CSVT may exacerbate the conflicts through this area and accentuate any underlying safety issues. While confusion over the Visitor Info sign has not been observed, it could be changed to a ground mount sign with an arrow. Recommendation Details: Study traffic operation of Basin St. off-ramp and interaction with adjacent Market St. exit-only auxiliary lane. Consider adding an additional Basin St. exit guide sign near physical gore of ramp (similar to that shown in MUTCD Figure 2E-34 B - Example of Signing for Successive Exit Ramps with a Dropped Lane at the Second Exit), and updating auxiliary lane pavement markings to m								





Estimated Cost Timofra and Entity

Red	commendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe	
	mm 34). May also consider	more detailed general service and tourist information signing with distance and exit numb	ers to assist tourists in	accessing the correct	ct interchange for	
	their destination and not ind	ucing hesitancy on the interstate as they navigate to their destination.			_	
•	PennDOT Notes: Exit 32-31A are one exit lane. PennDOT has not received calls on these signs being confusing and believes signage at Basin exit is clear. An 'Exit only' sign					
	below Basin St sign could b	e added as a potential improvement.				
•	Implementation:					
	 Considerations: F 	Potential mitigations and suggestions associated with this location vary greatly in scope ar	nd cost. Some of the m	itigations/solutions ca	an be	
	implemented quick	ly, and some could be expensive and design intensive. Effects of queueing for the Market	t St. WB exit only lane	and the Basin St. exi	t lane require	
	additional study. Ti	raffic modeling suggests that completion of the CSVT project is expected to relocate a goo	od deal of Williamspor	destination traffic off	f of US 15,	
	meaning a number	of tourists will not pass the Little League Hall of Fame on their way into town. Thus, it car	he expected that add	litional wayfinding ma	iy be necessary	
	on I-180 to address	s tourist needs, and the distribution of trips on and off these exits may vary significantly du	Iring high tourist seaso	Ins as compared to "a	averages". There	
	the L190 M/P off re	ig congestion caused by capacity during peak nours on multiple approaches to the SPOI.	Two major ones includ) I- 180 WB and	
		imp to US TS. na signs would only be allowed as per Dub 16 and paid for by entity, which is the current (standard			
	 Support Partners 	\cdot PennDOT	Standard.			
	 Potential Funding 	Sources: Maintenance Budget, Operations Budget, Specific Service Sign (Pub 212.121)) and Tourist Oriented	Directional Signs (Pu	ub 212.123)	
		,	,			
TS	7 US 15 South/Market	Evaluate and install intersection and traffic signal enhancement/conspicuity measures	PennDOT	\$ - \$\$\$	1 - 4 years	
	St Interchange (Exit	for unusual intersection configuration				
	27A/I-180)					
٠	Issues/Concerns: Excess	crashes per HSM analyses have been identified at the EB and WB ramp terminal interse	ction with Market Stre	et. The ramp terminal	Is and their	
	associated geometry constit	tute an unusual intersection design and operation known as a SPUI (Single Point Urban Ir	ntersection). This type	of design creates a la	arge single	
	intersection with a very large	e uncontrolled area in the middle, which can lead to driver confusion for drivers unfamiliar	with this intersection t	ype, particularly drive	ers turning left or	
	right. Based on traffic mode	ling, completion of the CSVI project will likely lead to very different traffic patterns through	n this intersection, sinc	e it is forecast that a	not-insignificant	
	portion of US-15 INB through	T trainic, currentity turning left through this SPUI, will likely be already diverted onto 1-180 all	na continuing on the fi	12111111111111111111111111111111111111	5 N, never using	
	tourism dostinations in Sout	b US TS through traine currently turning right is expected to continue of 1-100 ED, post-C h Williamsport (o.g., Little League) via L180 ever LIS 15 in the future	SVI. COnversely, mo	e trainc is anticipated	I TO DE ACCESSING	
	Peronmendation Details	Consider intersection enhancement and conspicuity measures found to be effective at ot	har unusual intersection	on configurations that	sharo somo	
•	similar attributes with the SE	PIII: narticularly navement color treatments for shoulders and island areas to more clearly	delineate the travel w	av similar to use of c	olor on truck	
	aprons and color on increas	ed shoulder/turning areas at roundahouts. Consider use of lane designation signs on the	mast arms and signal	head per lane found	to be effective for	
	helping motorists understan	d their lane designations at diverging diamond interchanges. Study the intersection and re	evise the signal timing	and phasing once tra	affic patterns	
	settle out; as signal timing a	nd delay can contribute to safety. Current crash history indicates the right turn EB off ram	p traffic green arrow o	verlap with WB off ra	mp green may be	
	contributing to out of lane cr	ashes; this should be investigated to see if revised lane markings or phasing should be in	nplemented, or a merg	e lane introduced to I	keep these	
	separate movements in thei	r lanes until they can safely establish right-of-way. Other intersection and signal improver	ments to address the e	excess crash history a	at this ramp	
	terminal intersection would i	include:		5	2	



Lead Entity Estimated Cost Timeframe

- Recommendation Description o Install object markers or delineators on islands
 - o Evaluate advance route and directional signing on ramps to help unfamiliar motorists select correct lane for desired destinations
 - o Update signal backplates to include retro-reflective strips to help with signal conspicuity
 - A number of crashes occurred in wet or icy conditions. Consider HFST-type bridge treatments or consider additional attention in winter conditions. Similarly, consider all-weather pavement markings for improved retro-reflectivity

• Implementation:

- **Considerations:** Some of the lower cost mitigations such as painting and signing could occur in the near term, while more expensive, complex solutions/mitigations are being designed/developed. Recommend providing alternate timing plans for Little League and other high tourist seasons, as they are likely to experience significantly different trip distributions, with the potential for unexpected queueing onto I-180 (and related rear-end crashes). Ramp preemption timing and location should be reevaluated, concurrent with signal timing revisions. Redesign considerations should take future trip redistribution movements into account. The intersection movements should be observed (both during typical days and during high tourist season when motorists are more likely to be unfamiliar with the SPUI operation) to determine the level of positive guidance needed and mitigations should be adjusted accordingly.
- Extreme care should be exercised in exploring traffic signal phase changes. It should be noted that modifying SPUI timing phases to prevent the EB and WB overlap movements as referenced above could take away the signal timing and capacity-adding benefits of a Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI) and could exacerbate current capacity/congestion issues.
- Adding additional signal heads or signs to existing arms may be limited to what they were originally designed for. Installing additional poles or increasing the size of signal poles may be limited without additional structure modifications. The current poles are not mounted to the bridge deck, but rather go through a hole and are mounted to the piers.
- o Support Partners: PennDOT
- o Potential Funding Sources: Maintenance Budget, Operations Budget, GLG Funds





Traffic Operations (TO)

Recomr	mendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe			
TO-1	Regional Wayfinding	Evaluate signage needs for trucks traveling through the corridor and those destined for points west of the Susquehanna River	PennDOT District 3	\$\$	Short-term			
	Signage for Trucks							
 Issu sup the plar play Rec Imp 	ues/Concerns: Ensure port local initiatives to p CSVT. Trucks destine- nning partners and Pen y at addressing regiona commendation Details plementation: o Considerations: o Support Partners o Potential Fundin	that long distance truck travel remains on CSVT and I-180, limiting trucks through Shamoki provide multi-modal improvements including bike and pedestrian infrastructure in those area d to points west of the Susquehanna should be directed to US 15 to limit travel on PA 45 into nDOT District Offices on whether US 522 is serving long-distance truck travel from CSVT to I truck corridor concerns. Wayfinding will only be addressed through PA Tourism Signing Tru coordinated study between PennDOT, MPO, municipalities to better identify signing detai Can be implemented in short term. May require coordination with state, regional, and/or loca s: PennDOT District 3 as lead with support SEDA-COG and municipalities g Sources:	n Dam and Lewisburg s anticipated to exper o Lewisburg from the the Pennsylvania Tu ust to add to the Logo Is and engineering re- al freight stakeholders	g on US 15. Efforts t ience traffic reductio CSVT. Coordinate rnpike and what role program or the TOE quirements.	o limit trucks will ns as a result of with other regional signage could) program.			
TO-2	Regional Wayfinding Signage for Regional Travelers	Develop a regional wayfinding initiative to enhance visibility and promote visits to historic, shopping, dining, and recreation destinations in area	WATS/SEDA- COG	\$\$	Short-term			
 Issuregi Recand and Tou Imp 	 Signage for Regional Travelers Issues/Concerns: Completion of the CSVT will have impacts on businesses along the US 15 and CSVT corridors. To support economic development, traffic enhancements and regional safety, additional signage will be needed to better inform motorists of key destinations and appropriate access points. Recommendation Details: The wayfinding initiative will require significant coordination with local businesses to determine important destinations that may require wayfinding and signage. Additional coordination with PennDOT District 3 is needed to identify appropriate signage design and locations. Wayfinding will only be addressed through PA Tourism Signing Trust to add to the Logo program. Implementation: Considerations: Can be implemented in shorth term. Will require coordination with local business stakeholders. Support Partners: PennDOT District 3 can support engineering assessments; municipalities can help inform economic needs and priorities Potential Funding Sources: 							





Reco	mmendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe		
TO-3	US 15 Traffic	Conduct studies to revise traffic signal timings along the corridor based on changes to	PennDOT	\$\$	Short-term		
	Signal Re-Timing	traffic volumes due to completion of CSVT	District 3				
• !	sues/Concerns: Comple	etion of the CSVT is expected to reduce traffic volumes on US 15, especially from Selinsgro	ve through Lewisburg	. A comprehensive	signal timing		
l ii	itiative may be required v	vhere traffic volumes are impacted the most. Signal timings updates will improve access to	key businesses and li	mit congestion for ci	oss-streets.		
	nprovements may also su	ipport other multi-modal initiatives in Shamokin Dam and Lewisburg.			DOT		
•	ecommendation Details	: Traffic signal retiming efforts will be led by PennDOT District 3. SEDA-COG and local mu	nicipalities should pro	vide insights to Peni	1DOT on any		
	anic signal operation issu	les alter completion of the CSVT.					
• 1	npiementation:	Signal timing changes will require continued monitoring of traffic volume changes on LIS 15	after the CSVT comp	lation Spat intersec	ation turning		
	movement counts	signal limiting changes will require continued monitoring of trans volume changes of 05.15	ine CSVI CUMp	ielion. Spol interset	lion turning		
		s PennDOT District 3 as lead with support from SEDA-COG and municipalities	1115.				
	 O Potential Fundir 	a Sources: GIG					
• F	ennDOT Notes: The US	15 corridor in Kelly Township will have controller upgrades and tied into PennDOT's Maxvie	w system to use sign	al performance metr	ics for retimina		
t	e corridor. This will be co	mpleted with a GLG project within the next 2 years. US 11/15 in Shamokin Dam and Monro	pe Township will have	the same system to	evaluate timings.		
			·	5	0		
					-		
TO-4	Intersection	Evaluate need for intersection control modifications at PA45/CSVT interchange off-	PennDOT	\$\$	Medium-term		
	Improvements near	ramps and PA 45/Housel Run Road.	District 3				
	PA 45/CSVI						
		 medaling projections indicate naturated increases of traffic on DA 45 west of the DA 45 / CC	/T interchonne tourors	lo Loudoburg udthatha			
• I:	Sues/Concerns: Ifallic	modeling projections indicate potential increases of traffic on PA 45 west of the PA 457 USV	71 Interchange toward	actions are currently	ecompletion of		
u s	ie CSVI. Future develop	ment to the notificat the Million Alea muustifal Park may also exact bate traffic demand on m. These include the off-ramps from CSVT and the intersection of PA 45 and Housel Run F	PA 45. Several inters Road Other intersection	ion control devices s	bould be		
e e	valuated if intersection vo	lumes increase. Any mitigation needed to address future development should be addressed	through the HOP pro				
• F	ecommendation Details	: PennDOT District 3 can conduct a traffic signal warrant analysis if significant changes to t	raffic volume occur or	if other safety issue	s are identified.		
. (ther intersection control	options can also be assessed to address issues. In addition, signage and/or truck restriction	s can complement inte	ersection improveme	ents to limit truck		
а	access to the interchange just north of PA 45.						
• 1	• Implementation:						
	• Considerations: Support from SEDA-COG and local municipality in monitoring any issues that may be occur at this intersection. This will include review of ongoing						
	HPMS traffic cou	nts and information from PennDOT's crash data system. Spot intersection turning movemen	t counts could be con	ducted 1-2 years after	er roadway		
	completion to ass	ess changes to travel patterns.					
	 Support Partner 	s: Penndul District 3 as lead with support SEDA-CUG and municipalities					
	 Potential Funding Sources: HSIP 						





Recomn	nendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe		
TO-5	Intersection and Capacity Enhancements on Industrial Park Road	Evaluate need for intersection control modifications and spot capacity improvements at or near Industrial Park Road/CSVT interchange.	PennDOT District 3	\$\$	Medium-term		
• \$\$	Issues/Concerns: The continued expansion of the Milton Area Industrial Park will attract additional vehicular and truck traffic to this interchange. The current off-ramp						

Issues/Concerns: The continued expansion of the Milton Area Industrial Park will attract additional vehicular and truck traffic to this interchange. The current off-ramp intersections are stop-controlled and may create ramp queues if truck volumes increase significantly. Other spot capacity improvements may be needed just west of the interchange based on the growth of the industrial park and potential other commercial establishments. Such improvements may be addressed through development traffic impact studies. Issues and needs may be exacerbated if this interchange adds a truck stop or other amenities for regional truck travel.

• Recommendation Details: PennDOT District 3 can conduct a traffic signal warrant analysis if significant changes to traffic volume occur or if other safety issues are identified. Other intersection control options can also be assessed to address issues. Other land use changes included the addition of truck stops or other commercial amenities may impact the strategies and timing.

• Implementation:

• **Considerations:** Support from SEDA-COG and local municipality in monitoring any issues that may be occur at or near this interchange. This will include review of ongoing HPMS traffic counts and information from PennDOT's crash data system and assessing local land use changes.

o Support Partners: PennDOT District 3 as lead with support SEDA-COG and municipalities

• Potential Funding Sources:





Recomn	nendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe		
TO-6	Intersection	Evaluate need for intersection control modifications at PA 642/CSVT interchange off-	PennDOT	\$\$	Medium-term		
	Improvements and	ramps and signal retiming at PA 642/Turbot Avenue.	District 3				
	near PA 642/CSVT						
	Interchange						
 Issue the t inclution of the trafficerest Rec Other the s Imp 	 Issues/Concerns: Traffic modeling projections indicate potential increases of traffic on PA 642 at or near the PA 642 / CSVT interchange. PA 642 provides east-west access to the town of Milton. Land use growth is forecast east of the interchange and may generate more traffic. Several intersections are currently controlled by a stop sign. These include the off-ramps from CSVT. The PA 642 and Turbot Avenue intersection is the key east-west signal into Milton. The signal may require traffic timing changes if significant traffic volumes changes occur. Other intersection control devices should be evaluated if intersection volumes increase. This interchange may also serve as a viable location for a rest area or amenities, which may create additional traffic demand. Recommendation Details: PennDOT District 3 can conduct a traffic signal warrant analysis if significant changes to traffic volume occur or if other safety issues are identified. Other intersection control options can also be assessed to address issues. Other land use changes included the addition of truck stops or other commercial amenities may impact the strategies and timing. Implementation: Considerations: Support from SEDA-COG and local municipality in monitoring any issues that may be occur at this intersection. This will include review of ongoing HPMS traffic counts and information from PennDOT's crash data system and assessing land use changes. Spot intersection turning movement counts could be conducted 1-2 years after roadway completion to assess changes to travel patterns. Support Partners: PennDOT District 3 as lead with support SEDA-COG and municipalities Potential Funding Sources: GLG 						
TO-7	Intersection Improvements at PA 54/ Susquehanna Trail Intersection	Evaluate need for intersection control modifications at PA 54/Susquehanna Trail Intersection.	PennDOT District 3	\$\$	Short-term		
 Issu at th Rec is re addr Imp 	 Susquenanna Trail Intersection Issues/Concerns: The PA 54/Susquehanna Trail Intersection is currently controlled by a flashing yellow beacon. The local municipality has noted some current safety concerns at this intersection. Future development at this interchange and the completion of the CSVT may further increase traffic volumes in the vicinity of the intersection. Recommendation Details: PennDOT District 3 can conduct a traffic signal warrant analysis if significant changes to traffic volume occur and to evaluate current safety issues. It is recommended the intersection be analyzed in the short term as it has been noted as an existing safety concern. Other intersection control options can also be assessed to address issues. Other land use changes may impact the strategies and timing. Implementation: Considerations: Support from SEDA-COG and local municipality in monitoring any issues that may be occur at this intersection. This will include review of ongoing HPMS traffic counts and information from PennDOT's crash data system and assessing land use changes. Support Partners: PennDOT District 3 as lead with support SEDA-COG and municipalities Potential Funding Sources: HSIP 						





Recomm	nendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe		
TO-8	Intersection	Implement recommendations from the Muncy Area Corridor Management Plan on PA	PennDOT	\$	Medium-Long		
	Improvements on	405 including signal modifications and additional through/turn lanes	District 3		Term		
	PA 405						
 Issues/Concerns: The I-180/PA 405 interchange is currently designed to support additional traffic volume growth. With the completion of CSVT, there is the potential for more regional through travel on I-180. This exit provides a significant number of amenities including restaurants. As a result, some increase in traffic volume may occur on PA 405 just east of the current interchange. The additional traffic may create need to adjust signal timings along the corridor and the possible addition of spot capacity improvements. Recommendation Details: Improvements should be coordinated with the recommendations from the <i>Muncy Area Corridor Management Plan</i>. PennDOT District 3 can conduct assessments to evaluate signal timing changes that are needed. It is not expected that additional traffic signals or turning lanes will be needed. Implementation: Considerations: Support from Williamsport MPO and local municipality in monitoring any issues that may be occur along this corridor. This will include review of ongoing HPMS traffic counts and information from PennDOT's crash data system and assessing land use changes. Support Partners: PennDOT District 3 as lead with support SEDA-COG and municipalities Potential Funding Sources: HSIP; GLG 							
TO-9	Improvements on Lycoming Mall Drive and Lycoming Mall Road	Implement recommendations from Muncy Area Corridor Management Plan on Lycoming Mall Drive and Lycoming Mall Road including capacity and signal improvements	PennDOT District 3	\$\$\$	Medium-Long Term		
 Issu on k Lyca Rec mod Imp 	 Lycoming Mail Road Issues/Concerns: Significant development is expected near Exit 15 and Exit 17 of I-180. Based on the new development, traffic modeling projects significant increases to traffic on key roads in the vicinity of these two interchanges. The new development includes the expanded Geisinger facilities, expansion and repurposing of the Lycoming Mall, and the Lycoming Crossing. CSVT may increase corridor through travel in the corridor and result in more trips to these locations. Recommendation Details: The details of recommendations should be coordinated with the Muncy Area Corridor Management Plan. These enhancements may include signal modifications and capacity improvements on Lycoming Mall Road (SR 2049) and Lycoming Mall Drive. Implementation: Considerations: Support from the local municipality in monitoring any issues that may be occur along this corridor. This will include review of ongoing HPMS traffic counts and information from PennDOT's crash data system and assessing land use changes. Support Partners: Williamsport MPO and municipalities Potential Funding Sources: STP; GLG 						





Recom	mendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe		
TO-10	US 220	Spot safety improvements and shoulder widening along US 220 east of I-180 to	PennDOT	\$\$	Medium-Term		
	Improvements	Hughesville	District 3				
Iss spc	ues/Concerns: Traffic ot safety improvements	modeling indicates 10-20% growth in vehicle and truck travel on US 220 with the completion may be required that may include shoulder widening or other capacity improvements.	n of the CSVT. Due	to the increases in tra	affic and trucks,		
• Re	commendation Details	:: The details of recommendations will require more study and evaluation from local, regiona	I and state planning	partners. Recomme	ndations may be		
	numbled with the long-t						
• ""	 Implementation: Considerations: Support from SEDA-COG and local municipality in monitoring any issues that may be occur along this corridor. This will include review of ongoing HPMS traffic counts and information from PennDOT's crash data system and assessing land use changes. Additional traffic counts may be needed 1-2 years after the CSVT completion to evaluate impacts on regional travel and truck travel patterns. Available origin-destination data may also support the evaluation. Support Partners: Williamsport MPO and municipalities Potential Funding Sources: STP 						
TO-11	CSVT Emergency Access	Evaluate improvements or other protocols to ensure emergency vehicles have access to incidents within the corridor	PennDOT District 3	\$\$	Short-Term		
 Issues/Concerns: Stakeholders for the CSVT study have noted the importance of providing methods for emergency access vehicles to respond to incidents along the CSVT and I-180 corridor. Recommendation Details: Further evaluation is needed to determine strategies for emergency access vehicles to access key locations along the corridor. Strategies may include the design of emergency turn-around access points between exits or the application of other emergency management practices of responders. Implementation: Considerations: Support from PennDOT District 3 and emergency providers to identify issues and potential strategies. Support Partners: PennDOT District 3 as lead with support SEDA-COG and municipalities Potential Funding Sources: TIP 							
TO-12	Regional Wayfinding	Develop a regional wayfinding initiative to enhance the visibility and promote visits to historic, shopping, dining, and recreation destinations in the study area.	SEDA-COG WATS MPO	\$	5 years		
 Ad way bra Su Pot 	 Additional Details: Construction of the CSVT will result in diversion of traffic that has historically traversed many of the study area's communities. Developing a regional wayfinding initiative will ensure travelers on the CSVT and within the study area's communities are aware of the historic, recreation, and commercial opportunities through a branding campaign to market the region. Support Partners: Susquehanna River Valley Visitors Bureau, Lycoming County Visitors Bureau Potential Funding Agencies/Sources: DCED/CFA Multimodal Transportation Program, PennDOT Multimodal Transportation Fund 						





Recom	mendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe
TO-13	Traffic Signal	Support funding needed for traffic signal improvement projects in the study area through	SEDA-COG	\$	
	Upgrade and	PennDOT Green Light Go.	WATS MPO		
	Replacement				
• Ad	ditional Details: Many	municipalities in the study area will be required to make traffic signal improvements as the re	esult of CSVT constru	iction. Supporting mu	unicipal funding
rec	uests will ensure munic	ipalities can effectively improve and maintain signals. Municipalities identifying potential traff	ric signal upgrade pro	jects that could be p	otentially funded
thr	ougn Green Light-Go:				
	o Lovalsock Townsl	nin			
	 Monroe Townshir 	πþ			
	 Shamokin Dam B 	orouah			
	 South Williamspo 	rt Borough			
Pe	nnDOT has reached out	to all the preceding municipalities regarding potential projects using GLG funding.			
• Su	pport Partners: SEDA-	COG, WATS MPO			
• Po	tential Funding/Techn	cal Assistance Sources: GLG			
	· · · · · · ·				
TO-14	Address Increasing	Study the impacts of trucks travelling through several of the study area's communities	SEDA-COG	\$	Near-term
		and identify ways to mitigate impacts. Address trucking impacts with communities via			
	Throughout the	Improvements so the roadway network can safely accommodate an modes of transportation			
	Study Area				
• Ad	ditional Details: Sever	al municipalities in the study area reported safety and congestion concerns with trucks trave	llina throuah their con	nmunities. Compreh	ensivelv
as	sessing the issues throu	ghout the study area will document concerns and lead to project prioritization:	5 5		,
	 Lewisburg Borou 	h: Truck concerns are well documented in the 2019 Market Street Corridor Study prepared	by Lewisburg Boroug	ıh.	
	 Selinsgrove and F 	Penn Township have concerns with existing and future truck traffic exiting at Selinsgrove and	travelling west on P	A 522	/
	 Selinsgrove Borol 	ugh is working on an ordinance to limit truck lengths; Milton Borough is working on an ordina	ince limiting parking a	along Mahoning Stree	et (SR 642)
	O IVICEWEIISVIIIE BOI	ough would like to assess ways to reduce truck speeds in the Borough.			
	pport Partners: SEDA-	UUG Cal Assistance Sources: DL funds: DennDOT Connects			
• • • •	tential Funding/Techn	Cal Assistance Sources. PL IUNUS, PENNDUT CONNECTS			





Recomn	nendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe
TO-15	Support Transportation Improvements to Improve Pedestrian and Vehicular Circulation	Support funding requests for the construction of roundabout and supporting pedestrian connections in Kelly Township to facilitate efficient movement of traffic near the US 15 corridor.	Kelly Township	\$\$\$\$	
 Add rour Evai fund capa Sup Pote 	itional Details: In 201 adabout and improved ngelical Community Ho ling in 2021, additional acity and minimize futu port Partners: SEDA- ential Funding Agenc	7, Kelly Township assessed potential transportation improvements along the US 15 corridor pedestrian connections at the intersection of JPM Road and Hospital Drive. The project will ospital and development along the US 15 corridor. While the project has received funding, in funding would ensure the project's successful completion. A roundabout is a good fit for the re crash severities. COG ies/Sources: PennDOT Multimodal Transportation Fund	. One of the projects i facilitate the efficient cluding DCED/CFA N context of the area a	dentified included th movement of traffic lultimodal Transporta nd will increase the i	e installation of a to/from ation Program ntersection's





Transportation Enhancements (TE)

Recorr	mendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe	
TE-1	Shamokin Dam Bike	Implement bike and pedestrian strategies and recommendations from the Shamokin	Shamokin Dam	\$\$	Medium-term	
	and Pedestrian	Dam Comprenensive Plan	Borougn			
• lss	sues/Concerns: With th	e completion of the CSVT, it is anticipated that the US 15 will see significant reductions in tra	affic volume through S	Shamokin Dam. The	reduction of	
tra	ffic volumes provides op	portunities to implement multi-modal transportation investments.	Ū			
• Re	commendation Details	:: The Shamokin Dam Comprehensive Plan provides recommended strategies to improve bi	ke and pedestrian tra	vel in Shamokin Dan	n.	
• Im	plementation:	Continue monitoring of traffic on US 15 with completion of CSVT Evaluate strategies and s	lovelon decign alterna	tives in coordination		
	District 3 and MP	Continue monitoring of traffic of 03 15 with completion of C3V1. Evaluate strategies and C	levelop design alterna			
	 Support Partners 	s: The municipality will serve as lead with support of SEDA-COG and PennDOT District 3				
	 Potential Fundin 	g Sources: TA Set-aside				
TF ₋ 2	Market Street	Work with sponsors of the Market Street Corridor Study in addressing improvement	Lewishura	22	Medium-term	
16-2	Corridor	needs	Borough	$\psi\psi$	Mediam-term	
	Improvements		5			
• Iss	sues/Concerns: The CS	SVT is expected to affect regional travel patterns and access. These impacts will include cha	anges to traffic volume	es on PA 45 (Market	Street) and US	
15	in Lewisburg. The imple	ementation of the recommendations from the Market Street Corridor Study will be important	to ensure Market Stre	eet operates and sup	ports the	
tra	ffic, safety for pedestriar	is and bicyclists, high travel speeds and noise levels.				
• Re	commendation Details	: The Market Street Corridor Study provides a list of improvements to support the corridor v	ision and safety for al	l travelers in Lewisbu	urg.	
Re	commendations include	the evaluation of truck prohibitions, signal timing, pedestrian crossings, reductions to speed	l limit, and other stree	tscape enhancemen	ts on Market	
Str	reet.					
• Im	plementation:	Continuo monitoring of traffic on DA 45 and US 15 with completion of CSVT. Evaluate strat	ob nolovob bne soipo	sian altornativos in c	oordination with	
	O Considerations: Continue monitoring of traffic on PA 45 and US 15 with completion of CSV1. Evaluate strategies and develop design alternatives in coordination with PennDOT District 3 and MPO					
	 Support Partners: The municipality will serve as lead with support of SEDA-COG and PennDOT District 3 					
	 Potential Funding Sources: TA Set-aside 					
	• Note: Additional truck traffic on Market Street during the time of the study was impacted by detoured traffic from the Northumberland Duke St. Project. A speed study					
	completed at the borough's request did not support lowering the speed limit. Moreover, truck crash statistics for Market Street (PA 45) do not support restricting trucks.					





Recom	mendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe
TE-3	Support Existing	Continue to support existing effort to expand shared ride, on demand, and microtransit	PennDOT	\$	5 years
	Transit	services. Based on outcomes, reevaluate regional fixed route service in the future.	SEDA-COG		-
	Demonstration				
	Projects and				
	Reevaluate Fixed				
	Route Service				
• Ad	ditional Details: PennE	OOT and SEDA-COG have been working with rabbittransit on providing shared ride, on dem	and, and micro-transi	t services in Union, S	Snyder, Montour,
Co	lumbia, and Northumber	rland counties. Expanding transit services to a regional fixed route delivery model will be eva	aluated based on dem	and and ridership le	vels associated
wit	h current demonstration	projects provided by rabbittransit.			
• Su	pport Partners: SEDA-	COG, WATS MPO, additional partners to be identified.			
• Po	tential Funding Source	es: PennDOT Multimodal Transportation Fund; DCED/CFA Multimodal Transportation Prog	ram		
	C C				





Land Use (LU)

Recommendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe			
LU-1 Locations for Future	Conduct further planning evaluations and coordination to identify potential locations for	Municipality	\$	Medium-term			
Rest Areas							
Issues/Concerns: With the with amenities will be required.	e completion of the CSVT, it is anticipated that the corridor will experience more long-distar ired to support regional travel	ce travel both for vehi	icles and trucks. Ad	ditional rest areas			
 with amenities will be required to support regional travel. Recommendation Details: Additional planning efforts can be conducted to coordinate on the best locations for travel amenities or truck stops. Several exit locations have been identified for initial consideration. These include: CSVT/Industrial Park Road (current industrial park and significant numbers of trucks highlight opportunity at this location) CSVT/PA642 (potential development east of interchange may support future truck stop or rest area) I-180 Exit 1 (vicinity to I-80 may support locations as viable for truck stop or rest area) Implementation: Considerations: Regional and local municipalities will need to further evaluate needs and land availability within vicinity of primary exits. Support Partners: SEDA-COG 							
UIS 15 and US 522	Plan for and implement traffic calming and "Complete Streets" approaches for US 15 in	Municipality	222	Long-term			
Traffic Calming and Corridor Re- envisioning	South Williamsport, Lewisburg, Shamokin Dam and Hummels Wharf and US 522 in Middleburg	manicipanty	$\psi\psi\psi$				
 Issues/Concerns: With the Wharf north to Williamspoon Along PA 522, traffic calmeter Recommendation Detail Improvements may focus Implementation: Considerations: Support Partner Potential Fundir 	 Inductoring envisioning Issues/Concerns: With the completion of the CSVT, it is anticipated that the US 15 will see significant reductions in traffic volume through multiple communities from Hummels Wharf north to Williamsport. The reduction of traffic volume provides opportunities to implement strategies to support other modes of travel using a "complete streets" vision. Along PA 522, traffic calming in Middleburg may be required to limit the negative impacts on safety due to increasing truck travel. Recommendation Details: The SEDA-COG MPO and Lycoming County Planning Commission can work to engage municipalities in identifying a vision for these corridors. Improvements may focus on reduction of travel speeds, traffic calming measures, bike and pedestrian facilities and streetscape to improve the corridor value to the community. Implementation: Considerations: Continue monitoring of traffic on US 15 with completion of CSVT. Evaluate strategies and vision with community stakeholders. Support Partners: Support SEDA-COG and PennDOT District 3 Potential Funding Sources: PL 						





Recomr	mendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe					
LU-3	SEDA-COG CEDS Update	Incorporate data from the CSVT Impact Study into the SEDA-COG CEDS 2025 update.	SEDA-COG	\$	4 years					
 Addii 2025 devel Supp Potel 	 Additional Details: SEDA-COG's most recent Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) was completed in 2020 with the next 5-year update to be complete in 2025. Incorporating data from the CSVT Impact Study and economic focused recommendations from recommended corridor management plans into the CEDS will be useful in developing future actions for the 2025 CEDS update. Support Partners: SEDA-COG Counties and Municipalities Potential Funding Sources: Operating budget 									
LU-4	County Comprehensive Plan Updates	Incorporate data from the CSVT Impact Study into Lycoming, Northumberland, Union, and Snyder County comprehensive plans	County Planning Departments	\$	County 10-year update schedule					
 Add Plar use com Sup Pot 	ditional Details: Lycom n (2001) each include r ful in adjusting existing nmercial, industrial nee oport Partners: Munici ential Funding/Techn	ning County Comprehensive Plan Update (2017), Northumberland County Comprehensive F eference to the CSVT. When comprehensive plan updates are due, incorporating current d county growth areas; modifying actions relative to protecting agricultural lands and environ ds in proximity to the CSVT. palities and economic development organizations in each county. ical Assistance Sources: PennDOT Connects Technical Assistance, DCED Municipal Ass	Plan (2005), and Snyd ata from the CSVT pro mentally sensitive area istance Program	er County Strategic oject into each count as; and determining	Comprehensive y's plan will be future housing,					
LU-5	Multi-Municipal Comprehensive Planning	Implement recommendations and/or update existing multi-municipal comprehensive plans within the study area. Develop new multi-municipal comprehensive planning partnerships to leverage municipal resources and addresses mutual goals and concerns.	County Planning Departments	\$	Ongoing					
Adc exis	ditional Details: Severation Severation Severation Severation Severation Severation Severation Severation Sever	al multi-municipal comprehensive plans have been developed in the study area and opportu d review recommendations from previous plans and focus on implementation actions partic	inities for additional m ularly those pertinent	ulti-municipal compr to the CSVT.	ehensive planning					





Recomr	nendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe
LU-5A	Implement	Continue to implement projects identified in each multi-municipal comprehensive plan for	Planning Area	\$	0-3 years
	Lycoming County	the following planning areas: Greater Williamsport Alliance Planning Area, Montoursville-	Municipalities		
	Multi-Municipal	Muncy Planning Area, Muncy Creek Planning Area, and US-15 South Planning Area.			
	Comprehensive				
	Plan				
A ala	Recommendations	ing County worked with municipalities in each planning area to undate and adopt multi-		nlana in 2017 Each	
• Add	Intional Details: Lycom	ing County worked with municipalities in each planning area to update and adopt multi-mun	icipal comprenensive	plans in 2017. Each	n muill-municipal
con	tipue to work on project	ieu several implementation strategies along with Phonty issues and Phojects. Several projects which would be mitigate land use impa	cts and improve nede	strian and bicycle ac	cess when the
CSI	T is fully constructed	(Note: A few of these projects are also referenced in subsequent recommendations)	cis and improve pede	Strian and bicycle at	
001	 Lovalsock Township 	hip: Establish a greenway and trail along Millers Run to connect the Susguehanna River Wa	Ik with Lovalsock Tow	nship's schools and	recreation
	center.				
	o Muncy Township:	Develop John Brady Drive access controls per recommendations of the Muncy Area Corrid	or Access Manageme	nt Plan.	
	o Muncy Borough/F	airfield Township/Muncy Township: Complete the pedestrian/bike trail connecting the Monto	oursville Bikepath to th	ne Lycoming Mall an	d Muncy
	Borough.				
	 Muncy Borough/M 	luncy Creek Township: Consider a joint municipal zoning ordinance for Muncy Borough and	Muncy Creek Towns	hip to regulate future	e growth in a
	cooperative mann				
	o Gregg Township (Union County): Complete the Allenwood/Montgomery Trail.			
C	o Gregg Townsnip (Union County): Improve Access to the Susquenanna River.			
• Sup	port Partners: Lycom	ng County Planning & Community Development	an an iation Darthanak		
Pot	ential Funding/Techni	ical Assistance Sources: Penndot Connects Technical Assistance, DUNR Community Co	onservation Partnersh	ips Program, DCED	CFA ACL 13
Gle	enway, maiis anu reci	ealion Program			





Rec	omm	endation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe
LU-	ōВ	Northumberland	Develop a multi-municipal comprehensive plan for municipalities in northern	Northumberland	\$	0-3 years
		County Multi-	Northumberland County and assess the 2009 Point Township/Northumberland Borough	County		
		Municipal	Comprehensive Plan for recommendation implementation.	Municipalities		
		Comprehensive				
		Planning				
•	Addi	itional Details: With th	ne completion of the CSVT in Northumberland County, opportunities exist to develop new mi	ulti-municipal planning	g efforts and update	an existing joint
	com	prehensive plan. Multi-	municipal comprehensive planning is beneficial to address mutual goals and concerns, leve	rage financial and sta	Iff resources, and po	sition
	muni	cipalities for future imp	plementation funding opportunities. Comprehensive plans for several municipalities in the no	rthern portion of North	humberland County	were adopted
	betw	een the 1970s and 19	905. Lewis Township and Turbotville Borough adopted a multi-municipal comprehensive pla	in in 2001 and West (nillisquaque update	ed its plan in
	2020	. Point Township and	Northumbenand Borough updated their joint comprehensive plan in 2009. Autoinal comprehensive plan through an intermunicipal cooperative agreement for municipal	litics in parthorn Narth	humborland County	to include the
		Boroughs of Miltor	n Turbotville, and McEwensville; and the Townshins of Delaware. East Chillisquague. Lewis	and Turbot Incorpo	rate input from Wes	t Chillisquaque
		which recently cor	nn raibornine, and meewensvine, and the rownships of Delaware, East eminisquaque, Eewie nnleted a comprehensive plan undate	s, and rarbot. Incorpo		Chinisquaque
		 Assess recommer 	ndations from the 2009 Northumberland Borough and Point Township joint comprehensive p	lan and consider impl	lementing recommer	ndations that
		address future arc	with associated with completion of the CSVT such as but not limited to: updating Point Tow	nship zoning to plan f	or the CSVT interch	ange area around
		Ridge Road, deve	loping access management standards for the Ridge Road, US 11, and PA 147 corridors and	d identifying locations	for future road netw	orks through
		adoption of an offi	cial map.	, ,		5
•	Sup	oort Partners: Northur	nberland County Planning			
•	Pote	ntial Funding/Techni	cal Assistance Sources: PennDOT Connects Technical Assistance, DCED Municipal Assi	stance Program		





Recomr	nendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe
LU-5C	Snyder County	Develop a joint comprehensive plan for Monroe Township and Shamokin Dam Borough	Snyder County	\$	5 years
	Joint	to address mutual goals and concerns, and leverage financial and staff resources.	Municipalities		
	Comprehensive				
	Planning				
Adc	litional Details: The so	buthern section of the CSVT will impact future land use patterns in both Shamokin Dam Bord	ough and Monroe Tov	vnship. While both n	nunicipalities
upd	ated their comprehensi	ve plans in 2016, completing a multi-municipal comprehensive plan for the next 10-year upo	late period (2026) will	ensure the municipa	ilities plan jointly
IOF I	uture land uses. Collad	orative enorts will leverage infancial and stall resources and position municipalities for futur	e lunding opportunitie	es for implementation	
• Sur	o Develop a joint in	unicipal comprehensive plan for Monroe Township and Shamokin Dam Dorough.			
 Dot 	point Farmers. Sinyuer	County Flamming ical Assistance Sources: DepnDOT Connects Technical Assistance, DCED Municipal Assi	istanco Program		
• FUU	ential Funding/Techni		Islance Frogram		
LU-5D	Union County	Review recommendations from the 2010 multi-municipal comprehensive plans prepared	Union County	\$	5 years
	Multi-Municipal	in conjunction with Union County Planning and Economic Development, prioritize, and	Municipalities		-
	Comprehensive	continue to implement actions.			
	Planning				
Add	litional Details: Multi-N	Aunicipal Comprehensive Plans were prepared for study area municipalities in the Central P	lanning Area and Eas	stern Planning Area.	While a few
actio	ons have been impleme	ented, there are opportunities to implement additional actions. A few actions are listed below	<i>i</i> , and thorough evaluation	ation is suggested fo	r current
rele	vancy, municipal capac	city, funding feasibility, and regional prioritization.			ale and here and
	o Implement compr	enensive plan recommendations in the Eastern Planning Area (Lewisburg Borough and Whi a coordinate and the end of the second se	te Deer, Kelly, East B te identify future least	ione for streets and a	n as, dui noi
	implement multi u	y access management or unidices to improve traincribe and allow for shared parking	lo identify future locat		sidewalks,
		ebensive plan recommendations in the Central Planning Area (Ruffalo Townshin, Union Tow	unshin) such as hut n	ot limited to adoptin	n access
	management ordi	nances to improve traffic flow and safety, adopting official maps to identify future locations fr	or streets and sidewal	lks adopting municir	al zoning and
	determining the fe	easibility of central water and sewer in the Winfield Secondary Growth Area.		its, doopting manop	ar zoning, and
• Suc	port Partners: Union	County Planning and Economic Development			
Pot	ential Funding/Techni	ical Assistance Sources: PennDOT Connects Technical Assistance. DCED Municipal Ass	istance Program		





Recom	mendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe			
LU-6	Preparation of Access Management Plans and Implementation of Existing Plans and Studies	Prepare access management plans along select corridors in the study area to address future access management and evaluate and continue to implement recommendations from existing access management plans and studies.	SEDA-COG WATS MPO	\$125,000 per plan	5 years			
 Ad stu stu Su Po 	Additional Details: Use build out scenario data provided through the CSVT Impact study as a starting point to address access management along and near the corridors in the study area using PennDOT Publication 574 on Access Management. Continue to prioritize and implement recommendations from existing access management plans and studies. • US 522 Corridor Selinsgrove Borough, Penn Township: Prepare an access management plan from Selinsgrove Borough west through Penn Township to address access to US 522 via the Selinsgrove interchange, increasing truck traffic pressure on Selinsgrove Borough, and increasing development along the US 522 corridor in Penn Township. • Review, prioritize, and coordinate implementation of access management projects in Union County as identified in the following documents: • US 15 Smart Transportation Corridor Improvement Plan Implementation (2012, Lewisburg Borough, East Buffalo Township). • Market Street Corridor Study (2019, Lewisburg Borough). • HRG Identified Kelly Township Potential Improvements (2017, Kelly Township). • Support Partners: Lycoming, Snyder, and Union County planning and economic development partners; municipalities along each corridor. • Potential Funding/Technical Assistance Sources: PennDOT Connects Technical Assistance, DCED Municipal Assistance Program, others TBD							
LU-7	Preparation of Corridor Master Plans	Prepare corridor master plans along select corridors in the study area to address future land use, development, and redevelopment opportunities when traffic is diverted to the CSVT.	SEDA-COG WATS MPO	\$125,000 per study	5 years			
AdSuPo	 Plans CSV1. Additional Details: Develop corridor master plans to assess future land use and redevelopment opportunities along select corridors in the study area. US 11/15 Corridor from Selinsgrove Borough north to Union and Northumberland Counties: Prepare a corridor master plan for the US 11/15 corridor to include Selinsgrove Borough, Shamokin Dam Borough, and Monroe Township to focus on redevelopment and revitalization opportunities in the corridor when a portion of traffic is diverted to the CSVT. US 15 Corridor from South Williamsport, Lycoming County to Gregg Township, Union County: Prepare a corridor master plan to focus on development, preservation, and revitalization opportunities along the US 15 corridor when a portion of thru traffic is diverted to the CSVT (<i>Note: Top Viable Project under Priority Issue # 6 of the US-15 South Multi-Municipal Comprehensive Plan</i>). Support Partners: SEDA-COG; Lycoming, Snyder, and Union County planning and economic development partners; municipalities along each corridor. Potential Funding/Technical Assistance Sources: PennDOT Connects Technical Assistance, DCED Municipal Assistance Program 							





Recon	nmendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe
LU-8	Transfer of	Consider implementing county-led Transfer of Development Rights programs to	County Planning	\$	
	Development Rights	preserve agricultural land by shifting development toward locations around CSVT	Departments		
	Programs	interchanges where more intensive development is planned			
• Ac	Iditional Details: Severa	al municipalities within the CSVT study area have updated their zoning ordinances to include	e or expand highway of	commercial and indu	strial zones,
all	owing for more intensive	development to support anticipated CSVT growth. To further protect and preserve agricult	ural resources, counti-	es and municipalities	s could implement
a	Fransfer of Development	Rights (TDR) program. By entering into Intergovernmental Cooperation Planning and Imple	mentation Agreemen	ts enabled by the Mu	unicipalities
Pla	anning Code, developme	nt rights on agriculturally zoned land could be transferred to county growth areas near appr	opriately zoned CSVT	interchanges. Cou	inties and
m	unicipalities would adopt	an ordinance to establish TDR Sending Overlay Districts (sending areas) and receiving are	as located in specified	I zoning districts in p	roximity to CSVT
int	erchanges. (Note: Estab	lishing municipal TDR programs was identified as a recommendation in the Northumberland	County Comprehens	sive Plan, Snyder Co	ounty
Сс	omprehensive Plan, and	Union County Comprehensive Plan.)			
	 Convene a meetir 	ng with county planning officials to discuss the benefit of TDR programs as a tool to preserve	e farmland. Discuss t	he mechanics of a p	rogram, including
	identifying sending	g and receiving areas. Work with county commissioners and municipalities to determine the	e level of interest in im	plementing a TDR a	nd a funding
	mechanism for pr	ogram implementation and management.			
• Si	ipport Partners: Municip	palities; SEDA-COG; county planning departments			
• Pc	otential Funding/Techni	cal Assistance Sources: PennDOT Connects Technical Assistance, DCED Municipal Assi	stance Program		
					1
LU-9	Municipal Land Use	Assist study area municipalities in updating land use documents and using new land use	County Planning	\$	2 years
	Ordinance Updates	tools, maximizing multi-municipal approaches where amenable.	Departments,		
	and New Ordinance		Study Area		
	Development		Municipalities		



Recommendation

Description

Lead Entity Estimated Cost Timeframe

- Additional Details: Municipal outreach conducted as part of the CSVT Impact Study resulted in identifying several ordinance updates required to ready municipalities for CSVT completion. Multi-municipal recommendations are suggested to the maximum extent possible.
 - o Study Area
 - Conduct ordinance reviews and suggest ordinance revisions to reduce parking requirements for the purpose of identifying shared parking strategies and
 facilitating redevelopment of excess parking areas for other uses. (Note: Reducing parking requirements was identified as a strategy for Muncy Township as
 part of the 2017 Montoursville-Muncy Planning Area Comprehensive Plan.)

• Lycoming County

- Muncy Borough, Muncy Creek Township: Continue intermunicipal cooperation to address infrastructure needs such as, but not limited to, stormwater, water, sewer, and electricity. (Note: Joint zoning was recommended in the 2017 Muncy Creek Planning Area Comprehensive Plan.)
- o Northumberland County
 - Lewis Township, Turbotville Borough: Consider re-establishing joint zoning to meet common land use needs and concerns in both municipalities.
 - Lewis Township: Consider incorporating a village center district in Lewis Township's zoning ordinance to address citizen concerns and provide a mix of
 residential and small-scale commercial uses along portions of PA 54.
 - Milton Borough: Adopt an ordinance limiting parking along Broadway Street (PA 642) in Milton Borough to improve safety along the corridor.
 - Point Township: Complete and adopt zoning ordinance revisions to address growth associated with CSVT completion and the Ridge Road interchange. (Note: Underway and recommended in the 2009 Northumberland Borough-Point Township Joint Comprehensive Plan.)
 - Point Township: Prepare and adopt an official map to plan for future transportation and recreational needs. (Note: Recommended in the 2009 Northumberland Borough-Point Township Joint Comprehensive Plan.)
- o Snyder County
 - Monroe Township: Update the Township's zoning ordinance to address growth associated with the Winfield interchange and future completion of the CSVT southern section. (Note: An interchange overlay with Shamokin Dam Borough was considered but was not pursued.)
 - Selinsgrove Borough: Support the development of an ordinance to limit the length of trucks travelling through the Borough to address safety and congestion. (Note: Discussing with PennDOT District 3-0.)
- Union County
 - Kelly Township: Adopt an access management ordinance as recommended in the Eastern Planning Area Action Plan of the 2010 Union County Comprehensive Plan.
 - Kelly Township: Consider adopting an official map to identify future street and sidewalks for the West Milton Secondary Growth Area as recommended in the Eastern Planning Area Action Plan of the 2010 Union County Comprehensive Plan.
 - Union Township: Consider adopting municipal zoning consistent with the Union County Future Land Use Map and adopting an official map to plan for future growth in the Winfield Secondary Growth Area as recommended in the Central Planning Area Action Plan of the 2010 Union County Comprehensive Plan.
- Support Partners: County planning agencies, study area municipalities, SEDA-COG, WATS MPO
- Potential Funding Sources: PennDOT Connects Technical Assistance, DCED Municipal Assistance Program





Economic Development (ED)

Recomr	nendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe				
ED-1	Infrastructure	Support the extension and installation of infrastructure such as water, gas, sewer, and	Municipalities						
	Expansion and	stormwater in County Growth Areas and in appropriately zoned land near the CSVT							
	Maintenance	corridor to facilitate economic growth.							
Adc	Additional Details: Several intrastructure projects required to facilitate development in designated growth areas were identified during municipal outreach conducted as part of								
the	CSVT Impact Study.								
ED-1A	Sewer line upgrade	Support public funding requests for South Williamsport Borough and City of Williamsport	South						
	and maintenance in	to jointly complete upgrades to sewer lines throughout the municipalities.	Williamsport						
	South Williamsport		Borough and City						
	and Duboistown		of Williamsport						
	Boroughs								
• Add	litional Details: South	Williamsport Borough and Duboistown Borough work collaboratively to share municipal service	vices and equipment.	With future opportur	nities for local				
rein	vestment due to divers	ion of truck traffic to the CSVI, the municipalities are in the process of upgrading sewer infra Alliencement Descure Dublic Works & Course Descute out Dublicituum Dublic Works	astructure.						
• Sup	port Partners: South	Williamsport Borough Public Works & Sewer Department, Duboistown Public Works							
• Pot	ential Funding Agenc	ies/Sources: DCED Municipal Assistance Program, PENNVEST, DCED/CFA PA Small Wa	iter and Sewer,						
ED-1B	Implementation of	Support public funding requests and agency approvals for Delaware Township and	Delaware		0-3 years				
	Eighth	Lewis Township to extend and install sewer infrastructure as part of the Eighth	Township, Lewis		5				
	Street/Vincent	Street/Vincent Avenue Sewer Project.	Township						
	Avenue sewer								
	regionalization								
	project								
Add	litional Details: Delaw	are Township and Lewis Township are working jointly to extend sewer lines and upgrade ca	apacity to facilitate pla	nned growth near Int	terchange 12				
(Wa	tsontown North). Supp	orting public funding requests and agency approvals will ensure the infrastructure is in place	e to serve anticipated	growth permitted three	ough municipal				
zon	ng.								
• Sup	port Partners: Northu	mberland County Planning							
Pot	ential Funding Agenc	ies/Sources: DCED/CFA PA Small Water and Sewer, PennVEST, DEP							
ED-1C	Sewer Extension in	Conduct further planning evaluations and coordination to identify potential locations for	Turbot Township		3-5 years				
	Turbot Township	future rest areas along CSVT and I-180			, 				





Recom	mendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe
• Ad	ditional Details: Turbot	Township has funded and is conducting a feasibility study to examine the extension of sew	er to extend initially to	o existing homes wes	st of SR 147 near
Inte	erchange 15 (Milton, PA	642). Supporting feasibility study recommendations will provide sewer service to existing he	omes and ensure infra	astructure is in place	to serve
ant	icipated growth permitte	ed through updated municipal zoning which will be adopted in 2021.			
• Su	oport Partners: Northu	mberland County Planning			
• Pot	ential Funding Agenci	ies/Sources: DCED/CFA PA Small Water and Sewer, PennVEST, DEP			
ED-1D	Sewer Extension in	Support public funding requests for a sewer line extension to serve existing homes and	Kelly Township		0-3 years
	Kelly Township to	47 acres of commercially zoned land for potential development near US 15.	5		<u>,</u>
	support existing				
	and future growth				
• Ad	ditional Details: Kelly 7	ownship is working to extend sewer capacity from the Kelly Township Municipal Authority p	ump station on Winte	r Farm Lane through	a gravity fed
sev	ver line connecting behi	nd the Walmart property on US 15. The sewer line extension will serve existing homes along	g Ziegler Road and pr	ovide service to 47 a	icres of
con	nmercially zoned land a	djacent to JPM Road, near US 15.			
• Su	oport Partners: Kelly T	ownship Municipal Authority, Union County Planning and Economic Development			
 Pot 	ential Funding Agenci	ies/Sources: DCED/CFA PA Small Water and Sewer, PennVEST, DEP			
FD 4F			· · · · ·	ф.	1 5
ED-IE	Consider	Consider conducting a study to determine the teasibility of installation of central water	Union Lownship	\$	I-5 years
	conducting a	and sewer in the winnerd Secondary Planning Area to accommodate future CSV I			
		growth.			
	sewer reasibility				
	Townshin				
• ^ d	ditional Dotaile: A roco	mmondation in the 2010 Control Dianning Area Comprehensive Dian recommends Union T-	l ownshin dotorming th	o foasibility of contra	I water and sower
• AU	ha Winfield Secondary (annendauon in uie 2010 Cenual Fianning Area Comprehensive Pian reconnitenus Union m Growth Area		e reasionity of certila	i walei anu sewel
. Su	ne Willielu Secolluary (County Dianning and Economic Dovelopment			
 Support Dot 	optial Funding Agana				
• P0	ential Funding Agenci	ies/Sources: Pellitvest, Dep			
					F 10
ED-1F		Conduct a reasibility study to assess upgrades to the sewer pump station in West Milton,	Kelly Township		5-10 years
	reasibility study to	a Secondary Growth Area in Kelly Township.			
	assess upgrades to				



Recommendation

•

•

•

ED-1G

a sewer pump station in West

Milton

Support

Implementation of

MS4 Projects



Support Partners: •

MS4 requirements.

Potential Funding Agencies/Sources: DEP Growing Greener Plus

(MS4) requirements.

Description

Winter Farm Lane will support future planned development.

ED-2	Redevelopment of	Support the redevelopment of underutilized properties throughout the study area by		
	Underutilized	conducting feasibility studies, focusing on those properties that are potential		
	Properties	developments of regional significance.		

Additional Details: Several properties in the study area have been identified as potential opportunities for reinvestment and redevelopment and are in proximity to the CSVT. Positioning properties for redevelopment will facilitate reinvestment and generate tax revenues long term. (Note: It is anticipated that additional properties will be identified as part of corridor master planning conducted under LU-7.)





Recomr	mendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe
ED-2A	Lycoming Mall	Conduct a Highest and Best Use Analysis for adaptive reuse of the Lycoming Mall	Muncy Township	\$	0-3 years
	Highest and Best				
• Ada	USE ANALYSIS	bing the redevelopment notential of Lycoming Mall is necessary to determine the notential h	l high oct and host uso t	ho proporty and will	ho kov to
• Aut	rming future land use o	rdinance updates to facilitate adaptive reuse. A highest and best use analysis would evaluate	ate the property for us	es that are legally of	ermissible
phy	sically possible, financi	ally feasible, and most profitable. Future uses such as industrial, retail, housing, or mixed use	se would be considered	ed and infrastructure	including
tran	sportation, water, and	sewer would be factored into the analysis.			3
• Sup	port Partners: Lycom	ing County Planning & Community Development, Williamsport/Lycoming Chamber, Lycomin	ng County Water and	Sewer Authority	
Pot	ential Funding Agenc	ies/Sources: DCED/CFA			
ED-2B	City of Williamsport	Support the completion of an economic development study in the City of Williamsport to	City of	\$	0-3 years
	Economic	identify properties for future economic growth once the CSVT is complete.	Williamsport		
0.1.	Development Study				at a stantist such
Add bigh	Ditional Details: The C	ity of Williamsport is commencing an economic development study concentrating on location apport growth anticipated once the CSVT is completed. The study will assess redevelopment	ns near I-180 to evalu t properties including	ate for redevelopme Brownfield propertie	nt potential and
corr	idor.	sport growth anticipated once the COVT is completed. The study will assess redevelopment	r properties melduling	brownineid propertie	s along the
• Sup	port Partners: Lycom	ing County Planning & Community Development, Williamsport/Lycoming Chamber of Comm	nerce		
Pot	ential Funding Agenc	ies/Sources: DCED/CFA			





Recommendation		Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe
ED-3	Developing	Support the planning and implementation of sidewalk and trail connections throughout	SEDA-COG	\$	5 years
	Connections –	the study area including increased connections to the Susquehanna River, to promote	WATS MPO		-
	Sidewalks and Trails	economic and community growth.			

Additional Details: Many sidewalk and trail connections in various stages of planning and implementation were identified in the study area raised by stakeholders through the outreach process. These connections are documented in existing comprehensive plans and studies, and a few were identified during municipal outreach conducted as part of the CSVT Impact Study. Supporting the development and implementation of continued connections, including access to the Susquehanna River, will provide not only additional pedestrian and bicycle connections but also increased recreation and healthy lifestyle benefits for citizens in and visitors to the study area. Sidewalk and trail connections identified include:

o Study Area:

 Coordinate a review of needed water trail improvements in the study area (West Branch Susquehanna River Water Trail, Middle Susquehanna River Water, North Branch Susquehanna River Water Trail) with Susquehanna Greenway Partnership and Trail Sponsors to ensure Susquehanna River water trail opportunities are maximized.

• Lycoming County

- Loyalsock Township: Support construction of a bridge pedestrian bridge over Millers Run adjacent to E. 3rd Street in Loyalsock Township to complete the Millers Run Greenway project as identified in the 2017 Greater Williamsport Alliance Planning Area comprehensive plan. Costs to complete the Millers Run Greenway project are estimated at \$2.7 Million.
- Williamsport: Extend planned pedestrian connections from Susquehanna River Walk to locations in the City including Lycoming College and planned softball fields.

o Northumberland County

Point Township, Northumberland Borough: Improve pedestrian and bicycle access throughout both communities, particularly opportunities to develop a trail
along a former trolley bed and improve access to the Susquehanna River in proximity to the Ridge Road CSVT interchange. Specific studies conducted to
assess recreational needs include: The Lake Augusta Study, The Ped - Bike Plan for the Point Township / Northumberland Borough, and the Liberty / Ice Dam
Hollow Park Master Plan.

o Snyder County

- Penn Township: Improve trail connections between Susquehanna University west to East Snyder Park.
- Selinsgrove Borough: Improve trail connections throughout the Borough and improve pedestrian and bicycle access across US 15 to the Isle of Que and the Susquehanna River.

o Union County

- East Buffalo Township, Lewisburg Borough: Improve pedestrian, bicycle, and trail connections throughout and between both municipalities, particularly along the US 15 corridor.
- Lewisburg Borough: Complete trail connections near the Susquehanna River in proximity to Market Street (PA 45).
- Kelly Township: Complete sidewalk improvements along JPM Road near US 15 to improve pedestrian access for employment and recreation as identified in a list of transportation improvements identified by Kelly Township in 2017.
- Support Partners: DCNR, SEDA-COG, WATS MPO, county planners, municipalities





Recommendation Description

Lead Entity Estimated Cost Timeframe

Potential Funding Sources: PennDOT Multimodal Transportation Fund; DCED/CFA Multimodal Transportation Program; DCED/CFA Act 13 Greenways, Trails and Recreation
Program; DCNR Community Conservation Partnerships Program





Planning and Administration

Recom	mendation	Description	Lead Entity	Estimated Cost	Timeframe					
PA-1	Maintain the CSVT	After plan completion, reconvene the study's Management Team members in a new role	WATS MPO	\$50,000/yr.	Ongoing					
	Special Impact	as an Implementation Task Force. The Task Force would track the impacts of the CSVT	SEDA-COG							
	Team as an	implementation plan.								
	Implementation									
	Task Force									
• Ad	• Additional Details: The new Implementation Task Force can begin meeting shortly after plan completion. Drafting a charter can establish the foundation of the Committee's new									
role	e and ensure all membe	rs are dedicated to working toward the same vision. The Task Force should provide liaisons means of what was known as of the date of its accordance (Nevember 2021). The Task For	s to both MPO boards.	It should be noted t iblo in its approach t	nat this study					
as	changes unfold, post-CS	SVT. Task Force members will need to be responsive to emerging events that were unknow	in at the time of this re	eport's adoption.						
• Po	tential Funding Source	es: PennDOT Connects Technical Assistance; PL funding		porto adoptioni						
	-									
	Γ		I		T					
PA-2	Coordinate and	Coordinate and track progress implementing existing plans conducted in the study area,	WATS MPO	\$	Ongoing					
	the Implementation	focusing on recommendations pertinent to the CSV1.	SEDA-COG							
	of Existing Studies									
• Ad	ditional Details: Many	comprehensive plans, corridor access management plans, and other studies have been cor	nducted in the study a	rea. Each document	contains its own					
set	of recommendations wi	th implementation assigned to municipalities, counties, and other partners. Several of the re-	ecommendations are p	pertinent to impacts a	associated with					
the	CSVI and have been in inicipalities to implement	mplemented and some have not. SEDA-COG and WATS MPO should coordinate and track those portinent to the CSVT. (Note: Several recommendations listed below as part of the C	Implementation progr	ess and work with c	ounties and					
do	cuments and studies.)	t those pertinent to the CSVT. (Note: Several recommendations listed below as part of the C	SVT impact Study an		y planning					
• Su	Support Partners: Study area counties, municipalities									
• Po	tential Funding Source	es/Technical Assistance: Operating budgets, PennDOT Connects Technical Assistance; F	PL funding							





PA-3	Maintain the CSVT	Maintain the CSVT Impact Study Web Map to ensure current data is available to support	WATS MPO	\$	Ongoing				
	Special Impact	transportation, land use, and economic development planning and programming in the	SEDA-COG						
	Study Web Map	study area.							
• A	dditional Details: Mainta	aining and adding to the existing information included in the CSVT Special Impact Study We	b Map as a tool for re	gional partners will b	e beneficial to				
р	lan for and program futur	e transportation, land use, and economic development projects. WATS MPO could host the	tool online and provid	le access to regional	partners to				
U	pload information.								
• 5	Support Partners: CSVT Management Team								
• F	Potential Funding/Technical Assistance Sources: Operating budgets								





Appendix A – Anticipated Study Area Land Developments

County	Municipality	Corridor	Name	Туре	Square Footage	Description	Status
Lycoming	Muncy Twp	US 220/ I-180	Geisinger Medical Center	Institutional/ Hospital	120,000 sq. ft	Geisinger is developing a medical complex in Pennsdale, including a 3-story, 120,000 square foot hospital.	Under Construction
Lycoming	Muncy Twp	US 220/ I-181	Parcel Across from Geisinger	Commercial	N/A	The parcel directly across US 220 from the Geisinger development has some conceptual interest for future commercial development but nothing is firm.	Conceptual, no plans submitted
Lycoming	Brady Twp	US 15	Timber Run Property	Unknown	N/A	This property is a county- owned parcel that is frequently discussed as a potential development; however, no development plans have been submitted.	Conceptual, no plans submitted
Lycoming	Williamsport	I-180/US 15	Lycoming College Mixed Use Development	Mixed Use (Commercial and Residential)	N/A	Lycoming College is seeking proposals for mixed use, commercial/residential development on a couple parcels adjacent to campus.	No plans have been submitted - RFP currently out for development
Lycoming	Muncy Twp	I-180	Lycoming Mall and Outparcels -	Commercial	N/A	The Lycoming Mall property and its outparcels are regularly discussed as a	No redevelopment



County	Municipality	Corridor	Name	Туре	Square Footage	Description	Status
			Redevelopment/Reuse Opportunity			redevelopment/reuse opportunity.	plans are in place at this time
Lycoming	Muncy Creek Twp	I-180	Industrial Parkway - Lot 11	Industrial	12,500 sq. ft.	According to the CoStar database, this site is best suited for industrial development with a cap of 12,500 square feet.	No plans have been submitted,
Lycoming	Muncy Twp	I-180	Bank Pad - Lycoming Mall Road	Commercial	4,100 sq. ft.	According to the CoStar database, this site is best suited for commercial development, notably a 4,100 sq. ft. bank.	No plans have been submitted,
Lycoming	Muncy Twp	I-180	Phase 2 - Lycoming Crossings Shopping Center	Commercial	65,000 sq. ft.	According to the CoStar database, this site is available for 65,000 square feet of retail development/expansion within the Lycoming Crossings shopping center.	No plans have been submitted,
Lycoming	Williamsport	I-180/US 15	2 Rose Street	Recreational	N/A	According to the CoStar database, this site is set for the construction of a baseball field in 2021.	Unknown
Northumberland	Delaware	SR 147	Moran Logistics	Industrial	43.16 acres	New distribution center along 8th Street Drive, between McEwansville and Turbotville interchanges	Under construction
Northumberland	Milton	SR 147	Milton Area Industrial Park	Industrial	173,000 sq ft	ARC Local Access Road project to improve Marsh Road and serve 145 acre parcel in Milton Area Industrial Park, zoned industrial and designated as KOZ, accessing 147 at Industrial	In Design (Roadway Project)



County	Municipality	Corridor	Name	Туре	Square Footage	Description	Status
						Park Road interchange. Patton Logistics Group is planning to construct two industrial facilities at 143,000 and 130,000 square feet.	
Northumberland	Delaware and Lewis	SR 147	Turbotville Interchange	N/A	N/A	Local project to improve sewer and water utilities at Turbotville Interchange to support future development	In Design
Northumberland	Point	PA 147	River Run Foods Expansion	Industrial	14,569 sq. ft.	This development proposes an expansion of the existing River Run Foods facility.	Development plans have been reviewed and approved by Northumberland County.
Northumberland	Point	US 11	Northshore Railroad	Industrial	6.4 acres	This development proposes an addition to the existing Northshore Railroad facility and includes construction of a tank farm concrete pad for a future transload propane station.	Development plans have been reviewed and approved by Northumberland County.
Northumberland	West Chillisquaque	PA 147	NGI Enterprises	Residential (13 housing units)	N/A	NGI Enterprises is proposing an expansion to an existing mobile home park known as Astro Village North. The expansion would consist of an additional 13 mobile homes, along with related roadway, utility, and stormwater infrastructure.	Development plans have been reviewed and approved by Northumberland County.

1



County	Municipality	Corridor	Name	Туре	Square Footage	Description	Status
Northumberland	Milton	PA 147	Pinpoint Federal Credit Union	Commercial	4 Acres	Pinpoint Federal Credit Union is proposing a new commercial building along with other site amenities.	Development plans have been reviewed and approved by Northumberland County.
Northumberland	Delaware	I-80/I-180	Keystone Valley Properties	Commercial/Agricultural	50.78 Acres	Keystone Valley Properties is proposing a 5 lot subdivision and a side yard addition.	Development plans have been reviewed and approved by Northumberland County; however, no development is anticipated to take place.
Northumberland	Lewis	PA 44/PA 54	Watson Excavating, Inc.	Commercial	42,689 sq. ft.	Watson Excavating is proposing the construction of a new office building.	Development plans have been reviewed and approved by Northumberland County.
Northumberland	Lewis	PA 44	Renewal Processing, inc.	Industrial	546,242 sq.ft.	Renewal Processing is proposing the construction of a canopy, a building addition, truck port, and stormwater infrastructure.	Development plans have been reviewed and approved by Northumberland County.

100



County	Municipality	Corridor	Name	Туре	Square Footage	Description	Status
Snyder	Monroe Twp	US 15	9th and Old Trail Road	Commercial	19,600 sq. ft.	According to the CoStar database, this site is available for 19,600 square feet of commercial retail development.	No plans have been submitted, CoStar recommends this as the best use for the property.
Union	White Deer	US 15	Silver Moon Hills	Residential (63 housing unit6s)	N/A	63 single family homes off of Milroy Road (T-486); Build out likely wouldn't start until 2022	Under review by White Deer Township
Union	White Deer	US 15	GAF Plant 2	Industrial	400,000 sq.ft.	400,000 sq. ft. plant off of SR 1011 (Old Route 15)	Under construction
Union	Gregg	US 15	Allenwood Commercial Development	Commercial	8,000 sq. ft.	8,000 sq. ft commercial development at the US 15/PA 44 intersection in Allenwood	Under construction
Union	Gregg	US 15	Snappy's	Commercial	5,100 sq. ft.	5,100 sq. ft. convenience store on 7 acres south of Clyde Peeling's Reptiland with proposed right in access from US 15 and full access on Russell Road (T-429); Construction scheduled for 2021	In design
Union	Gregg	US 15	17890 Russell Road, LLC (Great Stream Commons)	Industrial	400,000 sq. ft.	400,000 sq. ft industrial development with access off of Russell Road (T-429); Preliminary site planning is underway and construction is expected to begin in 2021- 2022.	Land purchased in 2020, in design



County	Municipality	Corridor	Name	Туре	Square Footage	Description	Status
Union	Gregg	US 15	Moran Logistics Park	Industrial	300,000 - 400,000 sq.ft.	300,000 - 400,000 sq. ft. of industrial development with construction planned for Spring 2021. The facility proposes access off of Russell Road (T- 429). The future site plan proposes two additional buildings, totalling 800,000 sq. ft., to be reviewed/constructed at a later date.	Land development planning and site work in Fall 2020
Union	Kelly	US 15	Evangelical Prime	Institutional/Hospital	112,000 sq.ft.	This expansion of Evangelical Community Hospital, known as the PRIME (Patient Room Improvement, Modernization, and Enhancement) project, will add a four-story addition to the front of the hospital. Access will include US 15, Hospital Drive (SR 1005) and Loan Drive (T- 401).	Under construction with an expected completion date of May 2021

1